

PORTFOLIO HOLDER ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT

TRACKING OF RECOMMENDATIONS / ACTIONS

DATE OF MEETING	RECOMMENDATION / ACTION	RESPONSIBLE	LATEST PROGRESS/COMMENTS
30th November 2017	DNPH.EE.23 PETITION – PARKING CONDITIONS ON BOLINGBROKE ROAD, CLEETHORPES	Traffic Team Manager	Update at the meeting on 23 rd November 2020:- On the petition regarding Bolingbroke Road, Cleethorpes, Ms Swatman confirmed that after a discussion with the ward councillors, a recommendation was put to residents. As a result of the feedback the ward councillors suggested that officers go ahead with a traffic regulation order which would be submitted to a future Portfolio Holder for Environment and Transport meeting. The portfolio holder agreed for this recommendation to remain on the tracking until the final report was received.
25th February 2019	DNPH.ETE.31 PETITION – TO CLOSE THE ACCESS BETWEEN THE SPINNEY AND THE LAWNS, GRIMSBY.	Public Paths Officer	Update at the meeting on 23 rd November 2020 :- On the petition to close the access between The Spinney and The Lawns in Grimsby, Mr Nearney confirmed that he had spoken to one of the residents and they were compiling a log to submit evidence of any anti-social behaviour for their case. However, Mr Nearney explained that he had not received this report so he would write formally to the lead petitioner and if there was no response by the end of December 2020, he suggested the item could be removed from the tracker at the next meeting in January 2021.
25th November 2019	DPH.ETE.25 PETITION – REQUESTING A CROSSING BE INSTALLED ON BLUESTONE LANE IN IMMINGHAM WARD	Traffic Team Manager	Update at the meeting on 23 rd November 2020:- On the petition requesting a crossing to be installed on Bluestone Lane in Immingham, the portfolio holder

			referred to the work that had already started and that he had previously highlighted that the wigwams were not working. He had also requested some data around the speed of traffic to be gathered since the new crossing was installed. Ms Swatman confirmed the data had been received and officers were looking at the data and the wider implications in the area.
28th September 2020	DPE.ETE.9 PETITION – REQUESTING A MOTORCYCLE GATE IN PEOPLE'S PARK	Strategic Lead for Environment	<p>Update at the meeting on 23rd November 2020 :-</p> <p>On the petition requesting a motorcycle gate in People's Park, there were no current council plans for anti-motorcycle gates in this location. Ms Campbell read out an update from officers that the park had multiple entrances, and it would be very costly. There was also a high possibility of entry through alternative routes (hedges etc) if main paths were gated. The low-level fence was also periodically broken by vandalism, creating new access points to the park, which may also increase if the main routes were gated. Motor cycle gates did not just prevent motor bikes from going through they also prevented mobility scooters, wheel chairs and push chairs, so by installing them we would be preventing the very people we liked to see in the park; i.e. disabled and young families enjoying the facilities. Feedback from the Anti-Social Behaviour team was that even with the installation of barriers to the entrances, somebody intent on riding a motorbike anti-socially in the park could relatively easily lift it over the current low-level fencing that surrounded the park. In summary, installation would be costly, there was no current budget in place for such works and because of the lack of high fencing around the park, provision of gates would be unlikely to resolve the overall issues as alternative means of access could be sought. The portfolio holder requested that a letter</p>

			be sent to the lead petitioner advising them of the outcome and the item be removed from the tracker.
28th September 2020	DPE.ETE.10 PETITION- REQUESTING INSTALLATION OF SPEED BUMPS ON THE CORNER OF BEESON STREET AND ARMSTRONG STREET	Traffic Team Manager	<p>Update at the meeting on 23rd November 2020 :-</p> <p>On the petition requesting installation of speed bumps on the corner of Beeson Street and Armstrong Street, Grimsby. The portfolio holder referred to the site visit and the introduction of a stop sign was suggested. He queried why there were give way signs instead. Ms Swatman explained that a stop sign did not meet the criteria and there was legislation around where signs could be installed. She confirmed that officers were looking at give ways signs on high visibility yellow backgrounds, so they stood out more to drivers. Since the site visit, the ward councillors had suggested a one-way system coming out of Beeson Street into Armstrong Street. Ms Swatman confirmed that the preferred option was the give way signs and line markings but officers were going to carry out a study of the area in terms of speed to understand the issues, access to the community centre and as a result there may be a wider scheme that could be considered for the area. The portfolio holder requested that an email be sent to the ward councillors to update them on the outcome of the meeting.</p>