

## SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING AGENDA – 7<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

### ITEM 1 – DM/0211/20/REM – LAND AT STATION ROAD, HABROUGH

#### Amendments to the following Conditions:

##### Condition 4

No development shall commence above damp course level (dpc) on any plot until a scheme based upon landscape design drawing no. HA/143/07/D showing:

- (a) the details of the number, species, sizes and planting positions of all trees and shrubs to be planted;
- (b) a plan including details of all trees to be retained, any to be felled, hedgerows to be retained, any sections of hedgerow or trees to be removed;
- (c) measures for the protection of trees and hedges during construction work

have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

##### Reason

To ensure a satisfactory appearance and setting for the development and protection of existing features in the interests of local amenity and in accordance with policies 5, 22 and 41 of the North east Lincolnshire Local Plan.

##### Condition 8

The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans and specifications. Approved plans have the following drawing nos.

##### Site plans

HA/143/03/E - Site Plan  
HA/143/05/D - SUDs features  
HA/143/06/F - Materials  
HA/143/07/D - Landscaping

##### House types

AA/AS/18/103

BU/AS/18/103

BU/AS/19/102

BU/OP/18/106

BU/AS/19/106

CA/AS/16/101

CA/OP/16/101

CA/AS/18/101

CA/AS/19/102

CH/AS/12/103

DU/AS/18/103  
DU/AS/18/106  
DU/OP/18/103  
DU/OP/19/106

HD/AS/16/103  
HD/OP/16/103

HH/AS/16/101

KI/AS/18/102  
KI/AS/18/103  
KI/OP/18/102

M/OP/19/101  
M/AS/19/102

MC/AS/19/101  
MC/OP/19/101  
MC/AS/19/102

MCA/AS/18/101  
MCA/AS/19/101

MCM/AS/12/101

M.SG/OP/19/102

MX.SG/OP/19/102  
MX.SG/AS/19/102

PO/AS/16/001

TE.BA/OP/19/102

TE.SR/OP/19/102  
TE.SR/OP/18/103

W/AS/18/101  
W/OP/18/101  
W/AS/18/102  
W/OP/18/102  
W/AS/18/103  
W/OP/19/10

Garages

GA/HA/ST1  
GA/HA/ST2

GA/HA/ST3  
GA/HA/P1  
GA/HA/P6  
GA/HA/P7  
GA/HA/P9.29  
GA/HA/P10  
GA/HA/P11  
GA/HA/P30  
GA/HA/P34  
GA/HA/P59  
GA/HA/P64  
GA/HA/P86  
GA/HA/P88

Reason

To ensure the development is in accordance with the approved details and results in a satisfactory form of development.

Owen

following our discussion this morning I would like to formally request that the time period on Condition 5 (soundproofing works) is extended to 6 months to allow the applicant time to get his builder to do this work on the enclosure. As mentioned in earlier email due to Covid, weather and current work commitments the applicants builder cannot guarantee to get this work completed within 3 months

the reason to extend this period is to avoid any unnecessary concern caused to neighbours should the work not be completed within 3 months and therefore the neighbours (understandably) thinking this work will not be done (which it will be) and therefore reporting / raising concerns and further enforcement actions arising, which will cause further anxiety / tension etc and will be unnecessary as the applicant is committed to doing this work, hence why they have included it within their application

I am sure you will see the sense in this and bearing in mind the 8 months it has taken to get to this point I do not think this request is unreasonable

please can you ensure this information is presented to the Planning Committee as agreed

Regards  
Steve

Customer Details Name:

Address: 33 Parker Street CLEETHORPES

Comment Details Commenter Type: Member of the Public Stance:

Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application Comment

Reasons: Comment: Please could the species of trees/shrubs be determined by the planning committee at Wednesday's meeting? In his report, the trees officer has intimated disapproval of hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus*) which is the developer's choice, but he has not given any reasons. I find this surprising because hornbeam is a really attractive native species and would seem appropriate in a countryside location such as Habrough.

Regarding the same application, I note with concern that there seems to be no ecologist's report. Nor is anything in the documents to indicate even the slightest commitment to safeguarding ecology/biodiversity, let alone enhancing it.

Why is this? As a modest step, please could I request the installation of a minimum of 11 bird nestboxes - one per 10 properties - as a planning condition? This might go at least some way to addressing the biodiversity loss. I hope these observations might be included in any supplement to the agenda for Wednesday's meeting.

Many thanks. Best wishes