



**PORTFOLIO HOLDER  
ENVIRONMENT AND  
TRANSPORT  
DECISION NOTICE**

Publication Date: 4<sup>th</sup> April 2023

At the meeting of the Portfolio Holder – Environment and Transport, held on the 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2023 the following matters were discussed. The decisions of the Portfolio Holder are set out below in each item along with reasons for the decision and other options considered.

**DNPH.ETE.18 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

There were no apologies for absence received for this meeting.

**DNPH.ETE.19 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Councillor S Swinburn declared a personal interest in DNPH.ETE.21 DNPH.ETE.23 as a member for Immingham Town Council.

**DNPH.ETE.20 TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER 23-04: QUEEN MARY AVENUE INFANTS AND WELHOLME PRIMARY ACADEMY – SCHOOL KEEP CLEAR**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that sought to remake a Traffic Regulation Order to support the introduction of fixed cameras at this location to enforce the School Keep Clear restrictions.

**RESOLVED -**

- 1) That subject to a formal consultation and no material**

**objections being received, the making of a 'No Stopping 8.00am - 9.00am and 3.00pm – 4.00pm Monday to Friday on entrance markings during school term time only' Traffic Regulation Order as shown indicatively on drawings TR-23-04-A and TR-23-04-B (Appendix 1) of the report be approved.**

- 2) That in the event there are unresolved material objections to the Order, these are referred back to the Portfolio Holder for Environment and Transport for determination and a decision as to whether or not the Order be confirmed and executed be approved.**

#### **REASONS FOR DECISION**

- 1) In order to improve road safety and parking concerns, particularly in the immediate vicinity of the school gates, a pilot scheme to introduce fixed enforcement cameras at a number of identified school sites is in progress.
- 2) This Traffic Regulation Order will support the introduction of these cameras and ensure effective enforcement can be undertaken.

**OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED - Do nothing – This is not recommended as the road safety and parking concerns around the school sites at peak times will not be addressed.**

### **DNPH.ETE.21      TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER 22-08: NO WAITING AT ANY TIME - VARIOUS STREETS – CONSIDERATION OF OBJECTION**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that sought approval to grant the sealing of Traffic Regulation Order 22-08 as advertised without amendment, for the introduction of 'No Waiting at Any Time' (Double Yellow Line) as shown in the drawing in the report.

**RESOLVED – That the sealing of Traffic Regulation Order 22-08 as advertised without amendment, for the introduction of 'No Waiting at Any Time' (Double Yellow Line) restrictions as shown indicatively on drawings TR-22-08-01, TR-22-08-02 and TR-22-08-03 at Appendix 1 of the report be approved.**

**REASONS FOR DECISION - The introduction or extension of existing of 24-hour Prohibition of Waiting restrictions is proposed in order to improve road safety for all road users, by keeping the**

area free of parked vehicles, which will in turn ensure clear visibility for drivers exiting or egressing the junctions identified.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED – Do nothing. This is not recommended given the road safety issues identified.

## **DNPH.ETE.22      TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER 23-13: NO WAITING AT ANY TIME – MATTHEW TELFORD PARK**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that sought approval of the introduction of 24-hour Prohibition of Waiting (double yellow lines) on a section of Matthew Telford Park, Grimsby.

### **RESOLVED –**

- 1) That the to the making of a TRO to introduce 24-hour Prohibition of Waiting (double yellow line) restrictions, the extent of which is shown indicatively on the drawing to Appendix One of the report be approved.**
- 2) In the event there are unresolved material objections to the Order, these are referred back to the Portfolio Holder for determination and a decision as to whether or not the Order be confirmed and executed be approved.**

REASONS FOR DECISION - The introduction or extension of existing of 24-hour Prohibition of Waiting restrictions is proposed to improve road safety for all road users, by keeping the area free of parked vehicles, which will in turn ensure clear visibility for drivers exiting or egressing the junctions identified.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED - Do nothing. This is not recommended given the road safety issues identified.

## **DNPH.ETE.23      TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER 23-14: MANBY ROAD, IMMINGHAM – 24 HOUR CLEARWAY**

The portfolio holder considered a report that sought approval to grant the making of a TRO to introduce a 24-hour Clearway restriction on the residential section of Manby Road, Immingham.

**RESOLVED –**

- 1) That the making of a TRO to introduce a 24-hour Clearway restriction on the residential section of Manby Road, Immingham the extent of which is detailed in the Plan attached at Appendix 1 of the report be approved.**
- 2) That in the event there are unresolved material objections to the Order, these are referred back to the Portfolio Holder for Environment and Transport for determination and a decision as to whether or not the Order be confirmed and executed, be approved.**

REASONS FOR DECISION - The extension of the existing of 24-hour Clearway restriction is proposed in order to improve road safety for all road users, by keeping the area free of HGV parking, thereby ensuring clear visibility for drivers, pedestrians and cyclists and also, by reducing noise and air pollution generated by the HGVs currently affecting the residents living nearby.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED - The existing NWAAT restrictions could be extended to cover any currently unrestricted areas of carriageway within the area identified however, this is not recommended given the enforcement issues referred to in this report.

**DNPH.ETE.24**

**THE NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE BOROUGH  
COUNCIL CONCESSIONARY PARKING  
PROCEDURES REVIEW**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that sought approval of the review of the Concessionary Parking Procedures document which was created in 2018.

**RESOLVED –**

- 1) That the review of The North East Lincolnshire Borough Council Concessionary Parking Procedures be approved.**
- 2) That the review date be amended from annually to a point when consideration of material changes are required be approved.**

REASONS FOR DECISION - The Concessionary Parking Procedures document exists as a framework for the service, with the management of the conditions relating to requests for new and existing concessionary parking permits, pre-existing historical concessionary arrangements and free parking.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED - Do nothing – this would mean that the approach to parking becomes detached from current priorities and strategy.

**DNPH.ETE.25**

**AMENDMENT TO THE OFF-STREET PARKING PLACES ORDER 2022 - INTRODUCTION OF CHARGES ON MARINE WALK CAR PARK AND THE PILOT OF DESIGNATED MOTOR CARAVAN PARKING ON THRUNSCOE LAND CAR PARK**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that sought approval for an amendment to the North East Lincolnshire Borough Council Off Street Parking Places Order 2022, to include the proposed introduction of parking charges on Marine Walk car park, together with a height barrier. In addition, a designated area for motor caravan parking on the Thrunscoe Land, car park Cleethorpes.

**RESOLVED –**

- 1) That the proposed introduction of parking charges on Marine Walk car park, Cleethorpes along with the installation of a height barrier at the entrance to the car park be approved.**
- 2) That subject to obtaining planning permission, the implementation of a pilot of a designated area for motor caravan parking in Thrunscoe Land car park, Cleethorpes be approved.**
- 3) That the commencement of consultation on ‘The North East Lincolnshire Borough Council (Off-Street Parking Places) Order 2022 (Amendment No.3 2023)’ be approved.**
- 4) That subject to no objections being received, the making of The North East Lincolnshire Borough Council (Off-Street Parking Places) Order 2022 (Amendment No.3 2023), as detailed in Appendices 1 and 2 of the report be approved.**
- 5) In the event that there are unresolved objections to the Order, these are referred back to the Portfolio Holder for determination and decision as to whether or not the**

**Parking Places Order be confirmed, be approved.**

- 6) That a report comes back to a future meeting to consider a variation to the parking charges at the Marine walk car park be approved.**

#### **REASONS FOR DECISION –**

There is an opportunity to provide a pilot motor caravan parking scheme in the resort of Cleethorpes. This has the potential to stop the unauthorised use at Marine Walk car park and would see a facility provided which would accommodate motor home parking for a short duration of up to 48 hours. We recognise the numbers of motor caravan owners has risen in recent years and the need for suitable parking provision is in greater demand.

The motor caravan parking provision would enable a wider contribution of the visitor economy, contributing to the economic environment.

#### **OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

- 1) Do nothing – this would mean that the approach to parking becomes detached from current priorities and strategy.
- 2) A number of sites across the borough have been considered for motor caravan parking. A detailed appraisal on the land at Marine Walk was carried out but there were a number of obstacles that precluded us from moving forward with this site.

**DN.PH.ETE.26**

#### **INTRODUCTION OF A TWO-HOUR WAITING RESTRICTION – WALTHAM ROAD, SCARTHO CAR PARK.**

The Portfolio Holder considered a report that recommended that no time restriction be put in place on the car park at Waltham Road, car park, Scartho.

#### **RESOLVED -**

- 1) That the council does not progress with the proposed two-hour waiting restriction in Waltham Road car park, Scartho be approved.**
- 2) That the feedback is reviewed and considered, and a further report is submitted to a future meeting to deal with any further recommendations be approved.**

REASONS FOR DECISION - There was a request from Ward Members to propose a time restriction on Waltham Road car park. The perceived view was that the car park was being occupied by employees working at the nearby hospital and using the car park to avoid parking charges.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED - No other options considered at this point.