

Item 1 - Kingsway Club 3
Kingsway Cleethorpes -
DM/0355/23/FUL

RECEIVED

13 JUN 2023

MR TA JONES
FLAT 1 BRIGHTON ST
CLEETHORPES
DN35 8Q4

I want to object to the Kingsway Club application to stay open till midnight. The outside areas are much noisier since the downstairs became a pub last year. Its busy and the noise can be very loud, with lots of shouting, car door banging and loud talking as leaving for cars and taxis.

The front is also noisy at weekends in the summer as I catch the 7am bus to work. I go to bed early and rise early so the current closing at 10pm is already a nuisance but midnight would be terrible. The club is in the middle of a residential area completely surrounded by houses and flats. My own flat is next door to the new pub and my bedroom is at the front. Please keep the closing time as it is now.



Flat 3
1 Brighton Street
Cleethorpes
North East Lincolnshire
DN35 8QU

5 June 2023

I live at the above address which has a frontage above No 1 Kingsway and my front terrace, the only one on the seafront, is one property away from the Kingsway Club's front drinking area.

This area has really come into use much more since the downstairs became a pub. Prior to the significant building alterations which saw both the ground floor, for the first time having a licensed bar, along with the first floor bar, customers now more than ever spill out onto the outside areas particularly onto the rear increased drinking area. During summer evenings, especially at weekends, the noise from the Club can be very obtrusive and spoils the enjoyment of sitting outside. An extension till 12am would be a stage too far and very disruptive.

Whilst I do not have a view of the beer garden I can certainly hear the increase in noise. I have a bedroom at the back of the flat which is the nearest point to the garden. There appears to be no control or supervision of the area because noise and shouting goes on all the time and is quite audible in the bedroom.

I know that my neighbours have contacted the Council on several occasions asking for the noise to be monitored.

The current closing time around 10pm, though it can be later at times, is bad enough so please consider the residents in the area who have to live with this on their doorstep.

Please reject this application

Yours

Andrew Price

Comments for Planning Application DM/0355/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0355/23/FUL

Address: Kingsway Club 3 Kingsway Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8QU

Proposal: Variation of Condition 2 (Limited Period) and 6 (Hours of Operation) pursuant to DM/0554/21/FUL to extend limited period and increase hours of operation up to 12 am

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Mr GREG WEBB

Address: 3 BRIGHTON STREET CLEETHORPES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We back directly onto the Kingsway Club, extending the opening hours in the middle of a residential area will only cause distress and disruption to many of the residents surrounding the Pub. For us the large beer garden, smoking shelter already causes many issues with noise and smoke. The garden should close at 9pm but we are regularly awoken from people in the garden after 9pm sometimes after 11pm. Having a young family on the busy periods we are finding it difficult with the noise waking them up, we also cannot open any windows at the rear of the house as the smoke from the smoking shelter infiltrates into the house. As you can imagine this is extremely frustrating coming into summer. Extending the opening will only mean people leaving later and more intoxicated adding more stress on us as a family and the surrounding neighbourhood.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0355/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0355/23/FUL

Address: Kingsway Club 3 Kingsway Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8QU

Proposal: Variation of conditions 2 (limited period) and 6 (hours of operation) pursuant to DM/0554/21/FUL to extend limited period and increase hours of operation up to 12 am

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Mr Greg WEBB

Address: 3 BRIGHTON STREET CLEETHORPES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:As directly adjoining onto the pubs beer garden its already excessively noisy and distributive since the alterations last year with the club becoming a full blown pub downstairs. The customer base and the size of the beer garden is already a huge issue with large volumes of smoke and noise, not to mention the fowl language and fights which are now becoming more often. We are now at the point we cannot have our patio doors open due to smoke and noise levels with no consideration from the landlords regarding these issues. With no monitoring of the beer garden its often open pass the suggestive curfew 9pm and extremely noisy waking our young family up. I strongly object to the extended opening hours.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0355/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0355/23/FUL

Address: Kingsway Club 3 Kingsway Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8QU

Proposal: Variation of Condition 2 (Limited Period) and 6 (Hours of Operation) pursuant to DM/0554/21/FUL to extend limited period and increase hours of operation up to 12 am

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Mr MARTIN KENNEDY

Address: LEAKING BOOT RESTURANT CLEETHORPES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The noise from the said premises is getting very loud , at certain times of the day the noise and the very bad language which comes from the drunk customers is not very good for a small seaside town , we often have to apologise to customers with families for the bad language .

Comments for Planning Application DM/0355/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0355/23/FUL

Address: Kingsway Club 3 Kingsway Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8QU

Proposal: Variation of Condition 2 (Limited Period) and 6 (Hours of Operation) pursuant to DM/0554/21/FUL to extend limited period and increase hours of operation up to 12 am

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Mr MARTIN KENNEDY

Address: THE LEAKING BOOT RESTAURANT 2 KINGSWAY CLEETHORPES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The noise from the said premises is getting very loud, at certain times of the day the noise and the very bad language which comes from the drunk customers is not very good for a small seaside town, we often have to apologise to customers with families for the bad language.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0355/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0355/23/FUL

Address: Kingsway Club 3 Kingsway Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8QU

Proposal: Variation of Condition 2 (Limited Period) and 6 (Hours of Operation) pursuant to DM/0554/21/FUL to extend limited period and increase hours of operation up to 12 am

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Mrs JULIE KENNEDY

Address: 4-5 KINGSWAY CLEETHORPES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: With having a business adjoining the pub it's not ideal we have to deal with the noise and smoke front and back of the premises. The summer months are horrendous with the front seating area attracting unsavoury characters.

The rear beer garden is particularly busy in the summer its getting worse with the noise, foul language and smoking Its not enjoyable too use any of our outdoor space at all now.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0355/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0355/23/FUL

Address: Kingsway Club 3 Kingsway Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8QU

Proposal: Variation of conditions 2 (limited period) and 6 (hours of operation) pursuant to DM/0554/21/FUL to extend limited period and increase hours of operation up to 12 am

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Miss HANNAH KENNEDY

Address: FIRST FLOOR & SECOND HOLIDAY UNITS 4-5 KINGSWAY CLEETHORPES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The pub is very noisy and I have to strongly object.

The large volumes of people outside in the beer garden means no one can have their windows or doors open from the noise and smoke. I frequently get abuse from asking people to quieten down. The private driveway is frequently blocked from the pub customers thinking it's ok to park in my driveway blocking the whole drive way.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0355/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0355/23/FUL

Address: Kingsway Club 3 Kingsway Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8QU

Proposal: Variation of Condition 2 (Limited Period) and 6 (Hours of Operation) pursuant to DM/0554/21/FUL to extend limited period and increase hours of operation up to 12 am

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Miss Jaime Kennedy

Address: 7 KINGSWAY Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The noise from the club some days is unbearable from the rear of the pub, it's in a residential area it's getting noisier and noisier as it gets warmer. We are starting to get an issue with parking with patrons of the pub often parking without care and authorisation in our private driveways.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0355/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0355/23/FUL

Address: Kingsway Club 3 Kingsway Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8QU

Proposal: Variation of conditions 2 (limited period) and 6 (hours of operation) pursuant to DM/0554/21/FUL to extend limited period and increase hours of operation up to 12 am

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Mrs JAIME ARMOUR

Address: FISRT FLOOR FLAT 7 KINGSWAY CLEETHORPES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to the extended hours, the pub is already very noisy especially during the warmer months when the large beer garden is full. Its in the middle of a residential area it should not be allowed already never mind extended hours as the later it gets the noisier and more trouble will be attracted. The trouble is getting worse in the last few weeks alone. I cannot have any windows open at the rear for the noise. Patrons of the pub have no respect when leaving and often they park in my private driveway blocking me in with total disregard for my privacy and property.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0355/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0355/23/FUL

Address: Kingsway Club 3 Kingsway Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8QU

Proposal: Variation of Condition 2 (Limited Period) and 6 (Hours of Operation) pursuant to DM/0554/21/FUL to extend limited period and increase hours of operation up to 12 am

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Mr Colin Lamming

Address: 9 Kingsway Court Kingsway Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This letter represents myself and many local residents feelings in fully supporting the Kingsway Social Club application to have the same trading terms as the nearby competitor's outlets, Councillor Parkinson's, Willys Wine Bar, and The Smugglers Pub. These competitors are allowed additional opening hours and less council restrictive practices despite having closer housing developments and less outdoor spaces.

The Kingsway Social Club is a true social club and differs from the other basic pubs.

The owners accordingly spend more time in attendance supervising inside the pub than others do, and take rigorous action on training and policing of their staff. It offers trips and special events as you would expect. The Clubs environment is a place where girls and ladies of all ages now feel comfortable and safe. The Disabled are encouraged with no difficult of incoming steps and have a wheelchair sized toilet, and a Dog Friendly club. The club is a success story supported by locals and tourists alike, and occasionally offers artist entertainment, normally 1830-2100 hours finishing earlier than most people's retiring time. Thursday afternoon family fun and games, and a convivial social atmosphere at low drink prices. Visits by Police etc are unheard of.

Of the 17 filed Documents, 10 are Official or Planning Agencies, 6 out of 7 Neighbour Comments are from the same Kennedy Family, (Martin, Julie and beautiful daughters Jamie and Hannah), and could have been written and submitted by the same person and only 1 letter appears as an unbiased comment.

I respect the intelligence of planning officials too much to repeat my letter 3 times with the same message but from different addresses as Martin apparently does for the chip shop, (May 11th) or utilise my different married and pre-married names (May 9th) as Jamie does. I think you could all

easily spot these childish mistakes.

The Kennedy Family responsible for the letters have always resented the Kingsway Club Landlords as the property owner refused to sell them the remaining property in the immediate street area they do not own so preventing their dream of 100% control of the area and applying to rename part of the Kingsway as "Kennedy Walk. Their comments on not allowing the club in the middle of a residential place would appear to be 30 years out of date, which serves not alienates the resident population. The noise levels claimed I find amusing as the businesses close at 5pm (Café) and 7pm (Chip Shop) and the owners return home well away from Kingsway Club and the Croft Baker Ward.

The only credible Notification appears from 3 Brighton Street who bought the property with the club behind and operating there for the last 30 years and has observed smoke entering the house. There must be some remarkable horizontal winds in Cleethorpes to transport the smoke shelter smoke 10 metres diagonally horizontally across the car park and in to the house through its windows that are closed against the noise. I would point out the club and its 2metre + wooden surround fencing existed as can be seen on Rightmove property sales well before any houses were purchased in 2017 & 2019 and when under COVID we all had to sit outside in the car park. The club was always there and any due diligence report would have acknowledged this. It's like me objecting to a sea view after I bought a seafront property.

The club has produced one of the few success stories in Cleethorpes in revamping for the benefit of residents and tourists alike and should be rewarded on behalf of Cleethorpes 10-year Tourist initiative.

Instead, we look to penalised the club again by ensuring it has less hours or trading ability to fairly compete with others nearby whether or not it has family councillor backing.

Come on Cleethorpes provide a level playing field.

Megan Green (EQUANS)

From: Planning - IGE (Equans)
Subject: FW: DM/0355/23/FUL

From: Holly Smith
Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2023 1:03 PM
To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>
Subject: DM/0355/23/FUL

Planning permission comments; Kingsway Club, 3 Kingsway, Cleethorpes.

I have recently moved into Flat 4, Kingsway, Cleethorpes which backs on to the beer garden/smoke shelter/car park of the pub.

The smoke and the noise are becoming a problem, especially on sunny days. Cannabis is also a regular occurrence.... I have a 14-year-old daughter and it's not ideal circumstances to grow up around. The foul language towards the end of an evening also needs addressing.

I will point out that the patrons of the Kingsway Club are always lovely & polite, and the beer garden is always clear by 9pm. People just need to appreciate that residents don't always want to be part of their afternoon/night out.

Kind regards

Holly Smith

Item 2 - 23 Sterling
Crescent Waltham -
DM/0558/23/FUL

From: Burial Clerk <burialclerk@walthamparishcouncil.org.uk>
Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2023 12:19 PM
To: Planning - IGE (Equans) <planning@nelincs.gov.uk>
Subject: Waltham Parish Council - Planning Application decisions
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

Please see comments from Waltham Parish Council regarding Planning Applications as detailed below.

Planning Application Reference: DM/0558/23/FUL

Proposal: Variation of Condition 2 (Approved Plans) as granted on DM/0897/22/FUL

Location: 23 Sterling Crescent Waltham North East Lincolnshire DN37 0DW

Waltham Parish Council recommends refusal of this application due to the over intensification of this site.

Regards

Amanda

Amanda Carr
Clerk to the Burial Board
Tel: 07547 512155

Parish Office
Kirkgate Car Park
Kirkgate, Waltham
Grimsby
North East Lincolnshire,
DN37 0LS



<https://www.walthamparishcouncil.org.uk/>

The information in this message including any attachments may be confidential or privileged and is for the use of the named recipient only. If you are not the named or intended recipient you may not copy, distribute, or deliver this message to anyone or take any action in reliance on it. If you receive this message in error please contact Waltham Parish Council immediately by email or telephone 01472 826233 and delete it from your system.

Scanned by Anti Virus Software.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0558/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0558/23/FUL

Address: 23 Sterling Crescent Waltham North East Lincolnshire DN37 0DW

Proposal: Variation of Condition 2 (Approved Plans) as granted on DM/0897/22/FUL

Case Officer: Emily Davidson

Customer Details

Name: Mr Stephen Wearing

Address: 3 Chestnut Close Waltham Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: From the outset, the proposal included the creation of two North-facing first floor windows where none currently exist. It was always inevitable that these windows would overlook the rear of our property to some degree.

Under the latest revision these windows have been moved closer to our property by 2.2m. This may seem a small distance in the context of the site as a whole but the geometry of the situation means it makes a significant difference to the extent to which our property is overlooked. Under earlier plans, line of sight from these windows would have been restricted at least to some extent by our own garage and by buildings forming part of 25 Sterling Crescent. This is no longer the case.

Under the new plans the windows will overlook the rear of our property in its entirety and will be visible from the easternmost extremity, the westernmost extremity and all points in between, including two (ground floor) bedroom windows. They will also be visible from almost all of the garden.

We know this because a scaffolding ladder is currently located just below the proposed position of the smaller window (the larger 'Juliet balcony' would be to the left of this). This ladder can clearly be seen at each extremity of the garden and from the two bedroom windows. Photographs from these four positions facing the site are linked here:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/10bsP1MUS1Z_DdTG5AzKDJye53Eb4GLHs?usp=sharing

The height of the proposed windows is such that the loss of privacy will be impossible to mitigate by installing a 2.5m/8' fence or growing a hedge to this height. This should be apparent from the photos which show the existing 6' fence.

In summary, the proposals envisage a total loss of privacy to the rear of our property (the only side which currently has any privacy). This is the second revision of the initial set of plans submitted by the applicants and each revision has been to our increasing detriment.

Item 3 - Land West Of
Winchester Avenue Grimsby -
DM/0573/23/PAT

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Gayle Aisthorpe

Address: 21 Neville Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Councillor

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Please could a signed safety report be shared with the public.

I have not seen a safety report and it's proving impossible to find one

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Gayle Aisthorpe

Address: 21 Neville Street Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Councillor

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:How are these towers going up when there is not a signed safty report.

Please can you send me a copy of a signed safty report for 5g

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Miss Gail Howson

Address: 25 Warwick ave Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: These 5g towers emit high levels of radiation and a hazard to all life.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Darren Enright

Address: 80 Clee rd Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: These applications need to be put on hold ,until we can have a full open debate,with independent experts with updated data.

The fact that certain European countries do not erect these poles near populated areas ,gives me reason to be concerned!

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I believe the law is incorrectly being applied and legislations after 1973 are unlawfully due to an act of treason.

I believe the law is incorrectly being applied and legislations after 1973 are unlawfully due to an act of treason carried out by the government in charge at that point of time, meaning we have been acting in the colour of law after 1973. There was a Commonwealth Office memo/document "FCO 30/1048". This document was classified as confidential and remained hidden from the public for 30 years. I will try to give a brief outline why.

Margret Thatcher and the 1974 government of the day was in full knowledge, but Edward Heath in his esteemed corruption decided to bury his advice given to him. He was plainly told he was committing treason (See FCO30/1048 <http://www.acasefortreason.co.uk/fco-30-1048/#top1>)

Planning Paper on a question of entry into the European Communities and its effect on British Sovereignty. If we were to join the EEC or EU the UK will lose its sovereignty and the country will become a corporation. The Monarch's title also changed to Head of State because every sovereign resident in Great Britain became known as a citizen of the UK which is a corporation.

The laws protecting our birth rights are:

Superior Statutes:-

- 1688 Coronation Oath
- 1689 Bills of Rights
- 1700 Act of Settlement
- 1795 Treason Act

1689 Bill of Rights. Express words are - Stands for all time (In Perpetuity). Every Monarch will

abide by that In Perpetuity, so every Monarch has to abide by the 1689 Bill of Rights.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bill_of_Rights_1689

Every organisation has a fiction of law called Constituting Authority what give it power to act, So the Constituting Authority of those governing today is 1689 Bill of Rights in the first sentence it says Parliament Assembled is claiming to be representative therefore saying we the people are the Master and they are the Agent, therefore that totally undermines any rationality for Parliament to believe that they are Sovereign over the people what happened is the 1688 Coronation Oath is that the Monarch, the incoming Monarch promises to govern us according to our respective laws and customs.

Understanding Legislation. Gov.Uk

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/understanding-legislation>

Admissions Legislation is not law.

<https://youtu.be/B8LlbXjjR7c>

Legislation is merely advice and guidance to deliver the obligations of those governing as set out in The Bill of Rights 1688 to the people who hold those governing to account in the independent judiciary, thereby creating the common law.

Legislation = administrative law.

Understanding legislation

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/understanding-legislation#Howlegislationworks>

How legislation comes into force and is amended

An Act of Parliament creates a new law or changes an existing law. An Act is a Bill that has been approved by both the House of Commons and the House of Lords and been given Royal Assent by the Monarch. Taken together, Acts of Parliament make up what is known as Statute Law in the UK.

Acts of Parliament make up what's known as Statute law in the UK.

Primary legislation = Statute law & equals merely a common law contract by the consenting parties.

Policy is not law.

Secondary legislation.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/understanding-legislation#Howlegislationworks>

Secondary legislation = Agency

Understanding legislation

Secondary legislation' (also called 'subordinate legislation') is delegated legislation made by a person or body under authority contained in primary legislation. Typically, powers to make secondary legislation may be conferred on ministers, on the Crown, or on public bodies. For example, the Office of Communications (OFCOM) is given such powers by the Communications Act 2003.

Case Law

Case law = common law precedence.

Case law is the set of rulings from court judgements that set precedents for how the law has been interpreted and applied in certain cases. Case law is not held on legislation.gov.uk.

So if there is no case law, then an Act of Parliament by admission, and hence it is not disputed, has no force of law, as again confirmed in Understanding legislation on legislation.gov.uk

Act of Settlement (1700)

The Laws of England are the Birth right of the People.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2/section/IV>

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2>

.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: These facts should raise further concerns in all planning applications concerning 5G, 6G telecommunication not just this application. There are grave errors that need addressing in local and government policy, now what will you do with this new factual data covered by my emails?.

5G Planning Applications for telecommunications in the North East Lincolnshire area follows this Government publication. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

1. The evidence in the Kostoff 2020 paper <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88>

shows that the emissions from masts are a pollutant. They cause adverse health effects, beyond those recognised in the UK adopted ICNIRP guidelines.

2. In view of the mounting evidence of harm and any uncertainty, please apply precaution and refuse this mast.

2. In view of the mounting evidence of harm and any uncertainty, please apply precaution and refuse this mast.

3. In July 2022, the Upper Tribunal Court of the UK ruled in favour of parents of children who have been awarded an Educational Health Care Plan, due to the fact that children can suffer Electromagnetic Hyposensitivity (EHS). On those grounds alone and with that evidence, siting a 5G mast anywhere near residential properties, would be done so in full knowledge that the emissions from the mast cause harm to human health. <https://ehtrust.org/education-health-care-plan-ehcp-awarded-aug-2022-for-uk-child-on-the-basis-of-electromagnetic-hypersensitivity-ehs/>

4. With the information available to us on the emissions created by 5G mast technology and the impact that can have on human health, it is incumbent on the Council not to proliferate statutory nuisances, in breach of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/contents>

5. Press release: UK to accelerate research on 5G and 6G technology as part of £110 million telecoms R and D package Published 13 December 2022

"5G connectivity will revolutionise people's daily lives and boost business productivity through much faster internet speeds, as well as underpinning new technologies such as driverless cars, improved artificial intelligence and precision healthcare."

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-accelerate-research-on-5g-and-6g-technology-as-part-of-110-million-telecoms-r-and-d-package>

6. World Health Organization International Agency for the Research on Cancer's Position on Wireless and Health

<https://ehtrust.org/science/whoiarc-position-on-wireless-and-health/>

7. 2011 the WHO classified wireless as a group 2 b carcinogen the WHO IARC director IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS

https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf

8. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

9. Finally, I object to the application because the mast and cabinets will have a detrimental visual impact on the area, devalue properties and impeded property sales.

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.

2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.

3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Section 4. Decision-making

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/4-decision-making#para56>

45. Local planning authorities should consult the appropriate bodies when considering Applications for the siting of, or changes to, major hazard sites, installations or Pipelines, or for development around them.

43. The right information is crucial to good decision-making, particularly where formal Assessments are required (such as Environmental Impact Assessment, Habitats Regulations assessment and flood risk assessment). To avoid delay, applicants Should discuss what information is needed with the local planning authority and Expert bodies as early as possible

Section 5. Determining applications

47. Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in Accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate Otherwise. Decisions on applications should be made as quickly as possible, and Within statutory timescales unless a longer period has been agreed by the applicant In writing

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
 2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.
 3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Section 10. Supporting high quality communications

114. Advanced, high quality and reliable communications infrastructure is essential for Economic growth and social well-being. Planning policies and decisions should Support the expansion of electronic communications networks, including next Generation mobile technology (such as 5G) and full fibre broadband connections. Policies should set out how high quality digital infrastructure, providing access to services from a range of providers, is expected to be delivered and upgraded over time; and should prioritise full fibre connections to existing and new developments (as these connections will, in almost all cases, provide the optimum solution).

115. The number of radio and electronic communications masts, and the sites for such installations, should be kept to a minimum consistent with the needs of consumers,

the efficient operation of the network and providing reasonable capacity for future expansion. Use of existing masts, buildings and other structures for new electronic communications capability (including wireless) should be encouraged. Where new sites are required (such as for new 5G networks, or for connected transport and smart city applications), equipment should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate.

116. Local planning authorities should not impose a ban on new electronic communications development in certain areas, impose blanket Article 4 directions over a wide area or a wide range of electronic communications development, or insist on minimum distances between new electronic communications development and existing development. They should ensure that:

- a) they have evidence to demonstrate that electronic communications infrastructure is not expected to cause significant and irremediable interference with other electrical equipment, air traffic services or instrumentation operated in the national interest; and
- b) they have considered the possibility of the construction of new buildings or other structures interfering with broadcast and electronic communications services.

117. Applications for electronic communications development (including applications for prior approval under the General Permitted Development Order) should be supported by the necessary evidence to justify the proposed development. This should include:

- a) the outcome of consultations with organisations with an interest in the proposed development, in particular with the relevant body where a mast is to be installed near a school or college, or within a statutory safeguarding zone surrounding an aerodrome, technical site or military explosives storage area; and
- b) for an addition to an existing mast or base station, a statement that self-certifies that the cumulative exposure, when operational, will not exceed International Commission guidelines on non-ionising radiation protection; or
- c) for a new mast or base station, evidence that the applicant has explored the Possibility of erecting antennas on an existing building, mast or other structure 34 and a statement that self-certifies that, when operational, International Commission guidelines will be met.

118. Local planning authorities must determine applications on planning grounds only. They should not seek to prevent competition between different operators, question the need for an electronic communications system, or set health safeguards Different from the International Commission guidelines for public exposure

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.
3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

These facts should raise further concerns in all planning applications concerning 5G, 6G telecommunication not just this application.

There are grave errors that need addressing in local and government policy, now what will you do with this new factual data covered by my emails?.

5G Planning Applications for telecommunications in the North East Lincolnshire area follows this Government publication. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

1. The evidence in the Kostoff 2020 paper <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ADVERSE-HEALTH-EFFECTS-OF-5G-MOBILE-NETWORKING-Kostoff-H%C3%A9roux/02f7744ead7e365b77275fa5e04372fab58dcb88> shows that the emissions from masts are a pollutant. They cause adverse health effects, beyond those recognised in the UK adopted ICNIRP guidelines.

2. In view of the mounting evidence of harm and any uncertainty, please apply precaution and refuse this mast.

3. In July 2022, the Upper Tribunal Court of the UK ruled in favour of parents of children who have been awarded an Educational Health Care Plan, due to the fact that children can suffer Electromagnetic Hyposensitivity (EHS). On those grounds alone and with that evidence, siting a 5G mast anywhere near residential properties, would be done so in full knowledge that the

emissions from the mast cause harm to human health. <https://ehtrust.org/education-health-care-plan-ehcp-awarded-aug-2022-for-uk-child-on-the-basis-of-electromagnetic-hypersensitivity-ehs/>

4. With the information available to us on the emissions created by 5G mast technology and the impact that can have on human health, it is incumbent on the Council not to proliferate statutory nuisances, in breach of the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1990

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/43/contents>

5. Press release: UK to accelerate research on 5G and 6G technology as part of £110 million telecoms R and D package Published 13 December 2022 "5G connectivity will revolutionise people's daily lives and boost business productivity through much faster internet speeds, as well as underpinning new technologies such as driverless cars, improved artificial intelligence and precision healthcare."

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-accelerate-research-on-5g-and-6g-technology-as-part-of-110-million-telecoms-r-and-d-package>

6. World Health Organization International Agency for the Research on Cancer's Position on Wireless and Health. <https://ehtrust.org/science/whoiarc-position-on-wireless-and-health/>

7. 2011 the WHO classified wireless as a group 2 b carcinogen the WHO IARC director IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS. https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf

8. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

9. Finally, I object to the application because the mast and cabinets will have:

1. A detrimental visual impact on the area, devalue properties and impeded property sales.
2. The dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I believe residents and visitors within NE Lincs are being exposed to adverse health effects of 5G.

Look in to the following:

- <https://post.parliament.uk/research-briefings/post-pb-0032/>
- <https://ehtrust.org/the-european-parliament-panel-health-impact-of-5g/>
- 5G Related carcinogenic and reproductive/development hazards.
- Commonalities between Covid-19 and radiation injury.
- They have been installed by our local council illegally with.
- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
- No Environmental Impact Analysis.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>
- No Insurance Policy for the equipment.

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Act of Settlement (1700)

The Laws of England are the Birth right of the People.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2/section/IV>

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2>

UNITED NATIONS - HUMAN RIGHTS. Article 7. All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against

any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.

<http://nations->

[united.org/Universal_Declaration_Of_Human_Rights/Articles_Human_Rights/Articles_7_Human_Rights_Universal_Declaration_Nations_United_Seven.htm](http://nations-united.org/Universal_Declaration_Of_Human_Rights/Articles_Human_Rights/Articles_7_Human_Rights_Universal_Declaration_Nations_United_Seven.htm)

Responsibilities & liabilities.

No.1. The North Lincolnshire Council Constitution sets out how the council operates and how decisions are made. <https://www.northlincs.gov.uk/your-council/the-councils-constitution/>

No 2. Responsibilities-of-civil <https://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/written-answers/1985/feb/26/the-duties-and-responsibilities-of-civil>

No 3. "The Civil Service code - GOV.UK" <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/civil-service-code/the-civil-service-code>

No 4. The Nolan 7 Principles of Public Life. <https://leadinggovernance.com/blog/resources/the-nolan-principles/>

No 5. Local Authorities Responsibilities.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2014/23/part/1/crossheading/general-responsibilities-of-local-authorities/enacted>

No 6. Highways Act 1980. Compensation, damages for negligence.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1980/66/section/97>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

My objections are based around Safety Standards & guidance.

Why are NELC planning dept not addressing the following items listed below?

No.1. 5G pre installation Legal requirements.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>

No.2. This guide supports the use of HIA in the process of plan making (when developing policies in local plans) and planning applications (designing proposals for development projects).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-in-spatial-planning>

No.3. Exposure Levels to Harmful Radiofrequency Radiation

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/uncategorised/court-ruling-health-impact-of-radiation-exposure-from-cell-tower-cannot-be-excluded-netherlands/>

No.4 Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, "No Harm Rule".

<https://leap.unep.org/knowledge/glossary/no-harm-rule>

No.5. IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields, 0 Hz to 300 GHz. <https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/C95.1/4940/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

My reasons for objection is:

Telecommunications is a threat to public health. It causes many issues. Look at these which will explain the harm this technology causes.

Video 1. Graphene oxide reacts on radio waves. <https://youtu.be/-jPEpmYHkRo>

Video 2. Graphene oxide reacting to mobile phone frequency. <https://youtu.be/mZWgnnuIXr8>

Video 3. Any kind of wifi, RF, EMF will damage your blood cells. <https://youtu.be/oHxxNgEoJwk>

Video 4. 5G IS A WAR ON OXYGEN, A WAR ON IODINE, A WAR ON IRON, A WAR ON HEMOGLOBIN AND A WAR ON THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM.

<https://youtu.be/oY5SReQ2Kqc>

.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

My concerns are about who will take liability for any loss, harm or injury this equipment will cause and the owners and installers require insurance at each stage including operational liability cover.

lloyds insurers refuse to cover 5g wi-fi illnesses

Article 1. <https://principia-scientific.com/lloyds-insurers-refuse-to-cover-5g-wi-fi-illnesses/>

Article 2. <https://www.rfsafe.com/lloyds-of-london-insurance-wont-cover-smartphones-wifi-smart-meters-cell-phone-towers-by-excluding-all-wireless-radiation-hazards/>

.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

Health & Safety. Warning signs.

In todays world there are too many dangers and hazards. Some are more obvious than others. So various methods are used to make humans and wildlife aware. Sometimes visual signs may work, sometimes it may require mechanical or physical barriers or zones. This is why the various Regulatory agencies are put in place. One area that is not being addressed is telecommunications equipment.

As part of this installation will NELC take corrective action in addressing this subject of: Informative signs and protective barriers to be put in placed on all NELC past and future approved installations of telecommunication towers, poles, lattice masts, aerials, transformers substations, power supply.

This equipment is normally painted in a bland colour instead of being highly visible as any commercial or industrial site would be required to comply and abide by to make all parties aware when entering dangerous areas.

For example you would not want someone with a heart pacemaker going or living near a transmitter emitting a harmful energy wave.

Over many years the installers and operators have negated their responsibility.

There can be many reasons, we can speculate like additional cost, sloppy regulations, raising the alarm and shinning a spot light when pointing out the truth .

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Regulatory_agency

<https://www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/ionising/index.htm>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/environment-agency>

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_national_legal_systems

List of UK network providers.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_mobile_network_operators_of_Europe#United_Kingdom

.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

My objection is based on the harmful frequencies plus the exposure to the layering / network effect which amplifies a persons exposure.

5G is completely different from any other previous telecommunications equipment.

5G Frequencies.

- Low Band: below 1 GHz
- Mid Band: 1 - 6 GHz
- High Band: 24 - 48 GHz.

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G Wireless communication, Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimetre exposure.

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320

LETTER FROM CORNERSTONE, Ref: HEALTH AND MOBILE PHONE BASE STATIONS

[http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf)

[applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf)

[LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf](http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf)

Has used out dated research reviews ref hyperlink. Radiation: Electromagnetic fields, link seems to be. 4 August 2016 | Q&A.

W.H.O Link: (<http://www.who.int/peh-emf/about/WhatisEMF/en/index1.html>)

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: All quoted reference material in this document including the government links were carried out on or before 2020 so we need to challenge and question if it is out dated.

http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf

People have lost trust in Public Health England (PHE), government reports and guideline after the flawed lockdowns and covid-19.

Who are the sponsors of all these peer review guidelines and guidance papers? We no longer trust the government and the W.H.O nor can we trust the bias funded research.

In this publication it asks. What are the differences between 5G and 3G and 4G? There is nothing fundamentally different about the physical characteristics of the radio signals that will be produced by 5G compared to previous technologies like 3G and 4G.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/953342/5G_mobile_technology_-_a_guide__1.pdf

In this publication it clearly states: Characteristics of the application and its use.

There are a number of differences between 5G and previous wireless standards. One of these is that, in addition to the EMF frequencies that are used for 3G and 4G standards, some 5G communication technologies utilise higher EMF frequencies.

<https://www.icnirp.org/en/applications/5g/5g.html>.

5G Frequencies.

- Low Band: below 1 GHz
- Mid Band: 1 - 6 GHz
- High Band: 24 - 48 GHz.

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G

Wireless communication, Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimeter exposure.
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320

Where are the relevant updated ref links or documents to support these out dated report?
http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/085B4E3C32B5BF61FEC700FFB02682B0/pdf/DM_1053_22_PAT-LETTER_FROM_CORNERSTONE-1627343.pdf

The Stewart Report concluded that the balance of evidence did not suggest that exposures to radio frequency fields below international guidelines could cause adverse health effects. The final report from this programme was published in February 2014. The report noted that the research conducted found no evidence of adverse health effects from the radio waves produced by mobile phones or their base stations.

In April 2012 the Health Protection Agency's independent Advisory Group on Non-ionising Radiation (AGNIR) published a report entitled "Health Effects from Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields". This report concluded that there is no convincing evidence that mobile phone technologies cause adverse effects on human health.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) noted that "A large number of studies have been performed over the last two decades to assess whether mobile phones pose a potential health risk. To date, no adverse health effects have been established as being caused by mobile phone use" WHO factsheet 193: Electromagnetic fields and public health: mobile telephones, 2014.

In 2019 Public Health England (PHE) noted that "Exposure to radio waves is not new and health-related research has been conducted on this topic over several decades. In particular, a large amount of new scientific evidence has emerged since the year 2000 through dedicated national and international research programmes" <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health/5gtechnologies-radio-waves-and-health>

ICNIRP GUIDELINES The radiofrequency public exposure limits for EMF fields were developed by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) <http://www.icnirp.org> following evaluation of all the peer-reviewed scientific literature, including thermal and non-thermal effects. ICNIRP is a non-governmental organisation formally recognised by WHO. Established biological and health effects have been used as the basis for the ICNIRP exposure restrictions. These guidelines have been adopted for use in the European Union and the UK. In 2017 ICNIRP reaffirmed that their safety guidelines provide protection against all known health effects of radiofrequency signals.

COMPLIANCE WITH INTERNATIONAL EXPOSURE GUIDELINES All Cornerstone installations are designed and constructed in compliance with the precautionary ICNIRP public exposure guidelines as adopted in EU Council Recommendation of 12 July 1999 on

the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz). These guidelines have been set following a thorough review of the science and take into consideration both thermal and non-thermal effects. They protect all members of the public 24 hours a day. In addition, precautionary measures have been taken into account when setting relevant guideline limits for the public (i.e. in the UK a safety factor of 50 times is applied to the public exposure guideline). When measured, field strengths are many times lower than the precautionary ICNIRP general public guidelines. An ICNIRP certificate is provided with every planning application and this verifies that the mobile phone base station, when operational, will meet the precautionary ICNIRP guidelines. We also provide further documentation to clarify that the ICNIRP certificate addresses emissions from all mobile phone network operators' equipment at the proposed site.

1981 - 2012

Further Information: World Health Organisation EMF Project <http://www.who.int/peh-emf/en/>

International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) <http://www.icnirp.org/>

Public Health England (formally HPA) <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/electromagnetic-fields>

My links

Gov. UK Guidance: 5G technologies: radio waves and health. Summary seems to be extremely misleading.

It is possible that there may be a small increase in overall exposure to radio waves when 5G is added to an existing network or in a new area. However, the overall exposure is expected to remain low relative to guidelines and, as such, there should be no consequences for public health. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health>

In this presentation the 2020 RF Guidelines are referred to as ICNIRP 2019.

<https://www.icnirp.org/en/frequencies/radiofrequency/index.html>

ICNIRP has published a set of new guidelines in this frequency range. The ICNIRP 2020 RF EMF guidelines (<https://www.icnirp.org/cms/upload/publications/ICNIRPrfgdl2020.pdf>) protect against all potential adverse health effects relating to exposure to RF EMF, including from 5G technologies. The ICNIRP (2020) guidelines has made a number of improvements to health protection and provides more-detailed guidance for the application of its health protection system. These include the addition of whole body average restrictions for EMF frequencies above 6 GHz, restrictions for brief (< 6 minutes) exposures for EMF frequencies above 400 MHz, and the reduction of the averaging area for EMF frequencies above 6 GHz.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

Has NE Lincs Planning re-evaluated it's Policies and procedures to take into account the new Impacts and dangers 5G and 6G will have on it's population?

Some publications or policy documents that may require review are listed below.

Guidance on plan-making.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/plan-making>

NEL Council Planning for growth.

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/assets/uploads/2018/05/20180518-AdoptedLocalPlan2018-WEB.pdf>

Authority monitoring report (AMR)

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/monitoring/>

The authority monitoring report provides information that shows the progress of the local plan preparation, any activity relating to the duty to cooperate, indicators in the plan and any policies not been implemented.

The AMR can also provide information to indicate whether there is a need to undertake a partial or full update of the adopted Local Plan.

The latest version of the AMR is the 2017/2018 Authority Monitoring Report (PDF) which was published in June 2019.

Reviewing and monitoring performance is an essential part of the planning system. As the local planning authority for North East Lincolnshire the Council currently publishes two monitoring documents.

I strongly believe 5G Telecommunications technology poses a serious risk to public health. Many more people are learning of the harm caused by radiation to all life, that affects their children's health and the environment, and there has been no environmental risk assessment of the effects of 5G.

This guide supports the use of HIA in the process of plan making (when developing policies in local plans) and planning applications (designing proposals for development projects).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-in-spatial-planning>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

Will this development breach or be in contrary to policies 5 and 35 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2013-2032 (Adopted 2018) and section 10 of the NPPF 2021 in that the proposed mast and ancillary equipment, by reason of height, position and appearance would represent a visual intrusion to the detriment of the visual character of the area and due to dominance and intrusion be detrimental to local residential amenity. Furthermore, the position of the mast would block pedestrian and driver visibility to the detriment of highway safety.

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/the-local-plan/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

If this application is approved and the installation has a negative effect on the surrounding property prices or someone suffers any lose injury or harm who is liable?

Equity law. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equity_\(law\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equity_(law))

What regulations protect and cover this eventuality?

Will there be a Clause or covenant place upon the land registry deeds or building owners to be held liable and to carry the correct levels of insurance?

Are third parties protected or covered within any Acts, Statues or Regulations for suffering lose, harm or injury?

Landscape Character Assessment, Sensitivity and Capacity Study (2015)

<https://www.nelincs.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/the-local-plan/local-plan-background-information/landscape-character-assessment-sensitivity-and-capacity-study-2015/>

Gov. UK Guidance on plan-making.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/plan-making>

Statutory duty and the role of plans.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/3-plan-making#para17>

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

UK Public General Acts2004 c. 5Part 2DocumentsSection 19

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/5/section/19>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I strongly object to this harmful communications technology and is ugly infrastructure in our community. if there are any doubts to any hazards or harm it may cause then work needs to cease, serious reviews are required then address the issues, mitigate, isolate, de energise the equipment then draft plans to remove the hazards.

5G, 6G is not a legal requirement. I cannot find any law stating we must have 5G or 6G.

Government policy, plans, guidance, Strategy or targets is not the Law. So how is law created?

<https://www.parliament.uk/about/how/laws/>

With in the law each word is carefully defined and has specific meaning.

<https://alegaldictionary.com/color-of-law/>

The difference between Law and Policy.

<https://www.differencebetween.com/difference-between-law-and-vs-policy/>

Government requires the consent of the People.

<https://www.parliament.uk/about/how/laws/>

The Distribution of Power in UK Government

<https://www.lawteacher.net/free-law-essays/constitutional-law/distribution-of-power-uk-government.php>

Government 5G policy

The Government has a target that the majority of the population will be covered by a 5G signal by 2027. The Government's strategy for future digital infrastructure - full-fibre and 5G - is set out in DCMS's Future Telecoms Infrastructure Review (FTIR), published on 23 July 2018.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/future-telecoms-infrastructure-review-call-for-evidence>

When The government is working in collaboration with corporate businesses it can not harm the people it is meant to serve and protect.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/telecommunications-security-bill-factsheets/factsheet-6-5g>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/new-laws-to-wipe-out-rural-mobile-not-spots-and-speed-up-rollout-of-next-generation-5g-technology>

<https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-7883/>

<https://committees.parliament.uk/work/134/the-security-of-5g/>

<https://committees.parliament.uk/work/134/the-security-of-5g/>

<https://cms.law/en/int/expert-guides/cms-expert-guide-to-5g-regulation-and-law/united-kingdom>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

I strongly object to 5G & 6G technology because I've researched the dangers it poses to our health and the environment.

Carry out your own due diligence or check out the wealth of information in these links.

Dr. Sharon Goldberg Testifies at Michigan's 5G Small Cell Tower Legislation Hearing October 4, 2018

<https://youtu.be/CK0AliMe-KA>

Protecting Against EMF Radiation with Jason Bawden-Smith

<https://library.solari.com/protecting-against-emf-radiation-with-jason-bawden-smith/>

BEST 5G DOCUMENTARY

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/RYGOCjKRC52c/>

Helpful Resources.

<https://ehtrust.org/>

<https://bioinitiative.org/updated-research-summaries/>

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/>

<https://stop5g-resistance.whynotnews.eu/>

<https://www.emf-portal.org/en>

<https://www.powerwatch.org.uk/>

<https://wearetheevidence.org/>

<http://wifiinschools.com/index.html>

<http://www.parentsforsafetechnology.org/>

<http://www.parentsforsafetechnology.org/the-telecommunications-act-of-1996.html>

<https://www.wirelesseducation.org/>

<https://www.takebackyourpower.net/>

<https://www.inpowermovement.com/>

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/>

<https://www.fcc.gov/general/telecommunications-act-1996>

<https://home.solari.com/live-solari-report-protecting-against-emf-radiation-what-5g-means-to-you-with-jason-bawden-smith/feed/>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15910543/>

https://www.foodsmatter.com/asd_autism/autism_causes/articles/es_autism_goldsworthy.html

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24095003/>

<https://www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/nonionising/electro.htm>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20798635/>

<https://home.solari.com/live-solari-report-protecting-against-emf-radiation-what-5g-means-to-you-with-jason-bawden-smith/#com>

Some of the Laws and legislations to protect us from harm are:

Act of Settlement (1700)

the Laws of England are the Birthright of the People.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2>

Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, "No Harm Rule".

<https://leap.unep.org/knowledge/glossary/no-harm-rule>

UNITED NATIONS - HUMAN RIGHTS. Article 7.

All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection.

ARTICLES SEVEN 7 UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS 1948 NATIONS

UNITED (nations-united.org)

Misconduct In Public Office

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/misconduct-public-office>

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

The Control of Electromagnetic Fields at Work Regulations 2016

I strongly believe 5G Telecommunications technology poses a serious risk to public health.

Are all employees within any nearby businesses including domestic residents/occupants and visitors who may be affected by 5G/6G Mast, Tower, Monopole, Antenna or transmitter within the coverage given knowledge, training and regular medical screening for harmful side effects like cancer?

Have this group of people been given additional PPE or protective barriers/shielding?

Are there any on looking direct line of sight office, cafe, restaurant, care home, Hotel, B&B or domestic dwelling house windows that may allow the occupants more exposure to harmful emf waves been taken into consideration, if so what are the individual findings and how will this be addressed?.

Very few people are correctly informed on the hazards within their immediate working or living area. Most folks trust they will not be placed in danger. EMF waves are a hidden layer which often exceeds safe levels of exposure.

How will new employees of any nearby businesses and domestic residents/occupants and visitors who may be affected by 5G/6G be informed after the installation?.

Many more people are learning of the harm caused by radiation to all life, that affects their children's health and the environment, and there has been no environmental risk assessment of the effects of 5G/6G.

To highlight my point here are some regulations and legislation's to help in protecting the uneducated and vulnerable.

The Control of Electromagnetic Fields at Work Regulations 2016

<https://www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/nonionising/emf-regulations.htm>

Electromagnetic fields. Advice on exposure to electromagnetic fields in the everyday environment, including electrical appliances in the home and mobile phones.

This covers radio waves used to broadcast radio and TV and by telecommunication equipment such as mobile phones and base stations.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/electromagnetic-fields>

Research and analysis in. Residential magnetic field exposures in the UK: sources

This report (HPA-RPD-005) describes the investigation and identification of sources of residential magnetic field exposures in the UKCCS.

The Residential Sources Study was set up to investigate the sources of power frequency magnetic fields that contributed to average residential exposure estimates of 0.2 microtesla and above in the UK Childhood Cancer Study (UKCCS). The work was carried out as part of an extension of the UKCCS EMF Hypothesis - that exposure to extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields (EMFs), specifically power frequency magnetic fields, may play a role in the aetiology of childhood cancer.

There were two stages to the project; in Stage 1 the main objective was to identify power frequency magnetic field sources in the neighbourhood of the homes that might explain the UKCCS residential exposures. When there was no obvious explanation for the high exposure, the home and its corresponding matched case control were nominated for Stage 2 of the study, involving an internal inspection.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/residential-magnetic-field-exposures-in-the-uk-sources>

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G Wireless communication, Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimeter exposure.

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320

Is this Telecommunications mast, monopole, aerial or antenna abiding by all the rules within:

The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015

. UK Statutory Instruments 2015 No. 596 SCHEDULE 2 PART 16.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2015/596/schedule/2/part/16/made>

Development not permitted: apparatus near a highway

(7) Development is not permitted by Class A(a) if, in the case of the installation of a mast, on a building or structure which is less than 15 metres in height, such a mast would be within 20 metres of a highway.

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to this planning application on Public health and safety grounds.

NIH (National Library of Medicine) Various Article's to health issues, impacts and effects of 5G Wireless communication, Electromagnetic pollution, Microwave radiation and Millimeter exposure.
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?linkname=pubmed_pubmed&from_uid=31540320

To quote Martin L Pall, PhD, Emeritus Professor of Biochemistry and Medical Sciences at Washington State University, "Putting tens of millions of 5G antennas, without a single biological test of safety, has to be about the stupidest idea anyone has had in the history of the world". Professor Pall is wrong, however: it is not a stupid idea but a heinous crime if one understands the motive behind this deployment. 5G is a compartmentalized weapons deployment masquerading as a benign technological advance for enhanced communications and faster downloads. The globalist false propaganda falls away with one simple undisputed fact: their PCR test patent for Covid-19, which was filed in 2015, was never able to identify a live virus, so that it could be utilized to terrorize ignorant and unsuspecting populations across the world into taking a Covid-19 vaccine polluted with a nano antenna technology to hook victims up to the 5G network.

Emerging 5G, autonomous, augmented reality technologies, often referred to by the manufactures as "killer tech", will prove to be exactly that. The laissez-faire approach adopted by regulatory bodies and the telecoms industry encouraged the total disregard of the known biological harm from increasing levels of ionizing and non-ionizing radiation arising from the mass deployment of these technologies, which were developed for battlefield use. Non-governmental organizations, individuals and groups have had to take court action against government safety regulatory organizations as they have ignored the precautionary

principle and disregarded the requirement for standards which are indispensable in law for new and experimental product developments. The whole basis for the existence of regulatory bodies has been corrupted by criminals within these organizations who have destroyed the confidence of the educated public in them. Basic safety requirements have been wilfully ignored by the telecoms industry and the ICNIRP guidelines have been deliberately designed to confuse those who lack technical knowledge.

Download research document on 5G: Great risk for EU, U.S. and International Health! Compelling Evidence for Eight Distinct Types of Great Harm Caused by Electromagnetic Field (EMF) Exposures and the Mechanism that Causes Them.

Written and Compiled by Martin L. Pall, PhD

Professor Emeritus of Biochemistry and Basic Medical Sciences

Washington State University

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/research/dr-martin-palls-latest-compilation-of-emf-medical-research-literature/>

3G / 4G / 5G coverage map, United Kingdom. Cellular data networks in United Kingdom

<https://www.nperf.com/en/map/GB/-/-/signal/?ll=55.751357157443756&lg=-3.4249999999999963&zoom=5>

Open up the menu and look at the other tabs like Provider. Then you are able to Zoom in to street level.

<https://www.cellmapper.net/map?MCC=234&MNC=20&type=LTE&latitude=53.56804581824048&longitude=-0.1363126295396545&zoom=13.539836676525454&showTowers=true&showIcons=true&showTowerLabels=true&clusterEnabled=true&tilesEnabled=true&showOrphans=false&showNoFrequencyOnly=false&showFrequencyOnly=false&showBandwidthOnly=false&DateFilterType=Last&showHex=false&showVerifiedOnly=false&showUnverifiedOnly=false&showLTECAOnly=false&showENDCOnly=true&showBand=0&showSectorColours=true&mapType=roadmap&darkMode=false>

<https://www.startpage.com/do/search?q=interactive%20map%20of%20cell-phone%20towers&segment=startpage.vivaldi>

<https://www.startpage.com/do/search?q=interactive%20map%20of%20cell-phone%20towers&segment=startpage.vivaldi>

Environmental Health Trust. <https://ehtrust.org/> WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT 5G.

This site has a wealth of factual knowledge. Take time to watch the:

5G Health and Environmental Impacts : Science and Policy video.

<https://ehtrust.org/key-issues/cell-phoneswireless/5g-internet-everything/20-quick-facts-what-you-need-to-know-about-5g-wireless-and-small-cells/>

Environmental Health Trust. <https://ehtrust.org/> "NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM"

<https://ehtrust.org/?s=national+toxicology+program>

The advent of 5G technology has been hailed by governments and certain vested interests as transformative, promising clear economic and lifestyle benefits, through massively boosting wireless and mobile connectivity at home, work, school and in the community, he says. But it has become the subject of fierce controversy, fueled by four key areas of scientific uncertainty and concern.

The lack of clarity about precisely what technology is included in 5G; and a growing but far from comprehensive body of laboratory research indicating the biologically disruptive potential of RF-EMFs

An almost total lack (as yet) of high quality epidemiological studies of the impact on human health from 5G EMF exposure

Mounting epidemiological evidence of such effects from previous generations of RF-EMF exposure at lower levels

Persistent allegations that some national telecoms regulatory authorities haven't based their RF-EMF safety policies on the latest science, amid potential conflicts of interest....<https://www.bmj.com/.../stop-global-roll-out-of-5g.../>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Radio-frequency radiation can be defined in law as producing pollution or waste that negatively affects human health

According to the definitions from the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (EPA 1990), the Pollution Prevention and Control Act 1999 (PPCA 1999), and the EU Directive on Industrial Emissions (2010/75/EU), radio-frequency radiation (RFR) emitted from masts and antennae can be shown to produce pollution or waste of a kind directly dangerous to human health. The laws specifically reference 'organ toxicity', listing as examples of this cell mutagenicity, carcinogenicity, and reproductive toxicity. A document produced by an EU entity (EUROPAEM 2016), has provided evidence that these are all (non-thermal) health effects of RFR. Thus, broadcast Wireless or Wi-Fi can be shown to create pollution/industrial waste, at the very least, to the standard that warrants investigation under the EPA 1990 and therefore that the erection of the proposed mast and its use are not sustainable given the nuisance control obligations that arise from the aforementioned legislation.

The above shows that the emissions from this mast will constitute a statutory nuisance emanating from a pollutant producing waste harmful to human health. Therefore, should the current planning application be approved, we intend to submit a formal statutory nuisance complaint against the mast.

EUROPAEM (2016) 'Guideline 2016 for the Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of EMF related health problems and illnesses' (Belyeav et. al., on behalf of the EMF Working Group, published in 'Reviews on Environmental Health, July 2016).

<https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Igor->

Belyaev/publication/305689940_EUROPAEM_EMF_Guideline_2016_for_the_prevention_diagnosis_and_treatment_of_EMF-related_health_problems_and_illnesses/links/59f2dfeaca272cdc7d0312c/EUROPAEM-EMF-Guideline-2016-for-the-prevention-diagnosis-and-treatment-of-EMF-related-health-problems-and-illnesses.pdf

5.0 Public Health and Safety

The proposal is not sustainable in relation to the objective pursued through NPPF 2019, Section 8, paragraph 91, which states:

'Planning policies and decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places which: c) enable and support healthy lifestyles, especially where this would address identified local health and well-being needs - for example through the provision of safe and accessible green infrastructure, sports facilities, local shops, access to healthier food, allotments and layouts that encourage walking and cycling.'

Neither ICNIRP nor any other official body has provided peer-reviewed evidence as to the cumulative effects of long-term exposure to radio-frequency radiation. Therefore, it is impossible to state at this time that any such mast as the one proposed can be deemed sustainable in respect of this NPPF objective.

At odds with the government's directive to councils is the fact that, on its website, ICNIRP itself '...does not guarantee the correctness, reliability, or completeness of the information and views published. The content of our website is provided to you for information only. We do not assume any responsibility for any damage, including direct or indirect loss suffered by users or third parties in connection with the use of our website and/or the information it contains, including for the use or the interpretation of any technical data, recommendations, or specifications available on our website...' (<https://www.icnirp.org/en/legal-notice.html>)

Similarly, UK Health Security Agency (formally Public Health England), to which the government has been referring for all advice regarding the health impacts of radio-frequency emissions, disclaimed responsibility for its own advice as follows in a letter from its solicitors to Leigh Day solicitors, on 8 August 2019:

A public body must determine how much weight to put on the PHE guidance. Equally that body must determine what other evidence from your client or other members of the public or interested parties to consider in making any decision. If it be alleged that a public body now or in the future acted unlawfully in placing reliance on the guidance, that cannot retrospectively taint the guidance with illegality.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This suggests that despite the UK government's guidelines to councils that they should accept the ICNIRP guidelines as guaranteeing the lack of negative health effects of radiofrequency radiation, the opposite is likely to be the case. ICNIRP limit themselves to thermal effects while there are hundreds if not thousands of scientific studies detailing the cumulative non-thermal effects of even small doses of radiation typical of the levels of the proposed mast: A tiny number of these is listed below:

0.5 to 1.0 mW/m² - Headaches, concentration difficulties, restlessness, tremor, and sleep disruption associated with chronic exposure to mobile phone base stations.

Mobile Phone Base Stations - Effects on Wellbeing and Health, Kundi M and Hutter HP, Pathophysiology, August 2009, 16 (2-3), pp 123-35

5 to 10 mW/m² - At this level it decreases human sperm motility, increases sperm DNA fragmentation, and affects brain activity and cognitive functions.

Conrado Avendaño, et.al., American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Fertility and Sterility, Vol. 97, No. 1, January 2012, pp 39-45

Approaching 30 mW/m² of RF changes in cardiac function, including altered heart rate, arrhythmia, and/or tachycardia were observed.

Magda Havas, Reviews on Environmental Health, Vol. 28, 2-3, November 2013, pp 75-84

In 2011 the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)/World Health Organisation, classified RF radiation as a Group 2B carcinogen. Studies found a correlation between RF radiation from mobile phones and two kinds of brain tumour: glioma (a cancer) and acoustic

neuroma.

A summary of the IARC's conclusions was published in Lancet Oncology, 2011, 12, pp 624-26

However, Morgan LL et al. (2015) suggests this to be over cautious, going further to propose a change of classification from 2B possible carcinogen to 2A probable carcinogen:

Morgan LL et al. (2015) 'Mobile phone radiation causes brain tumors and should be classified as a probable human carcinogen (2A) (Review)' Int J Oncol. PMID: 25738972.

Moreover, a study on the website of the US National Institutes of Health also insists that IARC revise its stance on health issues. This study shows major damage in terms of cancer, significantly reduced sperm counts, and serious harm to children's brains, stating that developing brains absorb 10 times more radiation than adult brains. The abstract ends: 'current knowledge provides justification for governments, public health authorities, and physicians/allied health professionals to warn the population that having a cell phone next to the body is harmful, and to support measures to reduce all exposures to RFR'. [Emphasis added] (Miller, Anthony B., Margaret E. Sears, L. Lloyd Morgan, Devra L. Davis, Lennart Hardell, Mark Oremus, and Colin L. Soskolne (2019) 'Risks to Health and Well-Being From Radio-Frequency Radiation Emitted by Cell Phones and Other Wireless Devices,' Frontiers in Public Health 7.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6701402/>

In the Spanish village of Benjarafe more than 30 people died, with 50 out of 400 developing cancer and many others further health issues shortly after the erection of a Vodafone mast. The following report describes an order to remove the mast and provides extensive epidemiological research showing biological harm near masts and other infrastructure:

<https://rfinfo.co.uk/index.php/4-0-d-recent-epidemiologic-neuro-studies-infrastructural-radiation/>

The above literature shows that adding 3G 4G and 5G antennae is neither 'enabling healthy lifestyles' nor providing 'safe spaces'. For nearby businesses, residential properties and pedestrians the knowledge that they are within close proximity of a mast with 5G emissions not tested for safety can be anxiety-inducing. With peer-reviewed research on pulsed-modulated electromagnetic radiation showing harmful biological effects, the remit of 'safe space' is certainly not achieved.

6.0 Precautionary Principle

My understanding of this matter is that it is mandatory that the Council and the applicant consider the possible impact of mobile phone masts, most especially 5G, on members of the public. It is within your own powers as a council to make sure people are safe. Sustainability demands that precaution.

The Precautionary Principle should therefore apply. For instance, we know the roads are dangerous and the Council have put up adequate crossing places for children and pedestrians. Traffic presents visible harm but 5G monopoles and masts are potential invisible harm - anyone spending a long period of time in the vicinity of a mast that emits radiation all day and night in a

dome effect, no matter what the level, is simply not proven to be safe; it is not a natural environment for humans, especially for children and young people.

In respect of the current planning application it should be noted that besides the bus station so near the site, there is a residential building across the main road. This means they will be constantly exposed to the highest level of radiation from the mast as they are so near it, and especially at night, the time when the human body is regenerating and thus most needs protection from such effects. ICNIRP standards discuss short-term exposure to radiation. They make no mention of the effects of exposure 24/7 for long periods of time such as those living in close proximity to masts are forced to endure.

The Precautionary Principle is defined as follows:

"When human activities may lead to morally unacceptable harm that is scientifically plausible but uncertain, actions shall be taken to avoid or diminish that harm."

Many councils and governments are already demanding Precaution and truly protective measures:
<https://rfinfo.co.uk/index.php/legal-actions/>

Closing statement

Many communities are taking action throughout the country and several Councils have felt it prudent formally to affirm their compliance with the Precautionary Principle when facing choices and decisions on any intensification or 'densification' of public or environmental exposure to RFR. This puts matters on hold for up to two years so that more research can be carried out towards making a proper informed decision. As there are currently no studies to provide evidence that there are no detrimental effects on the environment and public health, I would strongly recommend the Council enact the Precautionary Principle to ensure that the public health and environmental consequences of any intensification/densification of RFR are verified before approving ANY new application for telecoms installation of 5G monopoles.

<https://rfinfo.co.uk/index.php/legal-actions/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: MAST OBJECTION -

Appearance: The proposed mast will be obtrusive, ugly and incongruous with the surrounding character and appearance, resulting in detriment to the visual amenities of the area, as well as a harmful impact to the outlook of residential properties nearby.

The mast will have an imposing and overbearing impact on the amenity of the nearby area causing local residents unnecessary upset and anxiety, impacting the quality of the local area.

Traffic Distraction: The mast may create a visual distraction to road users, and its associated equipment cabinets would clutter and degrade the look and feel of the area.

In close proximity to residences and businesses, within 500m of the proposed site, which will be subjected to high levels of radiation beaming from this mast throughout the day and night. The impact of this proposal on the health (including mental health) and well-being of residents must be taken into account, and is a material planning consideration.

Transmitter density required for 5G means that more people will be exposed to radio frequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs), and at levels that emerging evidence suggests, are potentially harmful to health, argues Professor John William Frank, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh.

5G uses much higher frequency radio waves than in the past and it makes use of very new- and relatively unevaluated, in terms of safety- supportive technology to enable this higher data transmission capacity, points out Professor Frank. <https://www.bmj.com/company/newsroom/stop-global-roll-out-of-5g-networks-until-safety-is-confirmed-urges-expert/>

Carbon footprint: Each 5G mast requires approximately 3 x more power than a 4G mast (as much as 73 typical homes). <https://spectrum.ieee.org/5gs-waveform-is-a-battery-vampire>

Local authorities are expected to safeguard the quality of the local environment and some have a statutory duty to help conserve biodiversity and species protection as part of the planning process. Councillors are in a position to help preserve the natural environment for the benefit of future generations and to promote sustainability.

With 5G's greatly increased mobile traffic, electricity usage from telecoms could create up to 23% of global greenhouse gas emissions by 2030; power demand would be the equivalent of 36 nuclear reactors or 7800 massive offshore wind farms worldwide. - <https://www.mdpi.com/2078-1547/6/1/117/htm> - <https://www.meer.com/en/64080-green-5g-or-red-alert>

The France, Spain and California Green Parties, the France Climate Change Council, and Greenpeace East Asia have all warned of the climate footprint of 5G - <https://www.france24.com/en/europe/20201220-deploying-5g-will-lead-to-spike-in-co2-emissions-french-climate-council-warns>

WILDLIFE: See list of studies regarding potential harm to wildlife compiled by the Environmental Health Trust, a US foundation run by Nobel lead author and eminent environmental oncologist Dr Devra Davis - <https://ehtrust.org/science/bees-butterflies-wildlife-research-electromagnetic-fieldsenvironment/>

ICNIRP standards are mainly based on acute warming effects, with more than one degree of temperature increase. In several thousand studies, biological effects such as DNA damage have been shown to occur at exposure levels FAR BELOW these standards.

Criticism of ICNIRP by the Council of Europe: "Both the European Parliament (in its resolution 2008/2211(INI)) and the Council of Europe recommend lowering the exposure limits based on the ICNIRP opinions. The Council of Europe in its Opinion of 6 May 2011 on health risks associated with electromagnetic fields (12608)

<https://www.jrseco.com/council-of-europe-advice-on-health-risks-of-electromagnetic-radiation/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Look at pages 10 & 11 of this News Letter.

SCIENCE against FICTION or NON-THERMAL against THERMAL-ONLY

<https://www.es-uk.info/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/ES-UK-Newsletter-Summer-2022-vol.20-no.1.pdf>

Adverse non-thermal effects have been known since 1733, including EHS from 1746. It is extraordinary that, nearly 300 years later, a small clique of wireless advocates, including the ICNIRP, still denies the established mainstream scientific evidence of adverse non-thermal effects, such as EHS and cancers, and still invalidly claims only thermal effects. In promoting this fiction against the mainstream science, they have been allowed to destroy the lives and livelihoods of millions of people, a process perhaps unique in human history both in scale and in duration. In 1733 non-thermal adverse effects were shown from EMFs. In 1746 non-thermal EHS was shown from EMFs. In 1893 non-thermal adverse effects were shown from RFR. In 1930 the primary adverse effects of RFR in nervous tissue were shown as non-thermal. Internal heating was a secondary by-product of RFR. In 1932 cases of non-thermal EHS were described in Germany. In 1935 the USSR adopted non thermal guidelines. In 1945, radar at non-thermal levels was shown to cause cataracts and cancer. In 1957 parts of the US military rejected this non-thermal scientific evidence. They imposed Schwan's 1953 guidelines based on Schwan's heating myth, described by other parts of the military as 'arbitrary' and unscientific. In 2002 the ICNIRP recognised the need for non-thermal guidelines but in 2020 still proposed only thermal ones. In 2021 US Appeal Judges used the same word 'arbitrary' to describe the FCC's similar failure to assess the non-thermal effects, established since 1733.

More factual newsletters can be print off here: on WWW.ES-UK & <https://www.es-uk.info/newsletters/>

FURTHER PROGRESS ON NON-THERMAL ADVERSE EFFECTS

- In November 2021, the UK High Court in a landmark case overturned approval for a 5G mast since in part the council failed to address the non-thermal health impacts.
- In February 2022, Prof. Hardell et al. published the first definitive health study on 5G. It showed non-thermal EHS symptoms in residents living below a 5G mast on a block of flats.
- In April 2022 Pittsfield's Board of Health in the USA issued a cease and desist notice against a 5G mast after nearby residents developed EHS symptoms from its non-thermal radiation.
- In May 2022 Prof. Belpomme et al. published a study confirming non-thermal EHS: there are "sufficient data for EHS to be acknowledged as a distinctly well-defined and objectively characterized neurologic pathological disorder".
- In May 2022, the Action Against 5G campaign under Michael Mansfield QC was allowed to resume its challenge over the government's failure to provide adequate information about 5G's non-thermal risks, under the Human Rights Act 1998 protecting life, health and dignity.
- In May 2022, Dömötör Z et al confirmed non-thermal EHS in an ecological study.
- In June 2022, a French court ordered a non-thermal 4G mast to be switched off because of the deaths of 20% of a herd of cows after the mast was activated.
- In June 2022, a German court confirmed that landowners and telecoms are liable for nonthermal harm from masts.

UNSCIENTIFIC DENIALS OF NON-THERMAL ADVERSE EFFECTS

- In May 2022 an anonymous author denied that ICNIRP was unscientific without mentioning the adverse non-thermal effects, known since 1733, which ICNIRP unscientifically still denies.
- In May 2022, in an attack on Prof. Frank's criticism of 5G and the need for protection against established non-thermal effects, an anonymous ICNIRP author claimed that "the lowest exposure levels that have been found to cause harm, cause that harm via heating" and thus "all adverse health effects are protected against" if ICNIRP continues to ignore established nonthermal effects.
- In June 2022, Nordhagen EK et al. showed that ICNIRP's circularity of authorship in trying to support its rejection of non-thermal effects appears very close to fraud.
- Throughout 2022, governments have recklessly deployed 5G radiation without scientific evidence proving its non-thermal safety, although the only live study on 5G's health impacts shows that 5G at non-thermal levels can cause EHS symptoms.
- In mid 2022, the UKHSA, which is "responsible for protecting every member of every community from the impact of ... radiological incidents and other health threats", has failed to protect the 3.6% of the population disabled by the extraordinary high levels of RFR/EMFs which the UKHSA allows in the UK by adopting the obsolete ICNIRP 2020 heating guidelines. These fail to protect against non-thermal effects such as ES and EHS.
- In 2022, by still refusing to accept non-thermal effects, UKHSA is failing "to make the nation's health secure", its primary aim. Instead, the UKHSA is victimising and harassing the 3.6% of the population whose health is ruined by the UKHSA's refusal to accept non-thermal effects

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Parliamentary Assembly. Resolution 1815 (2011). For all governments to adhere too.

The potential dangers of electromagnetic fields and their effect on the environment

<https://pace.coe.int/en/files/17994>

The whole document is worth reading and covers many key areas. Here is just two item from the resolution.

Summary

The potential health effects of the very low frequency of electromagnetic fields surrounding power lines and electrical devices are the subject of ongoing research and a significant amount of public debate. While electrical and electromagnetic fields in certain frequency bands have fully beneficial effects which are applied in medicine, other non-ionising frequencies, be they sourced from extremely low frequencies, power lines or certain high frequency waves used in the fields of radar, telecommunications and mobile telephony, appear to have more or less potentially harmful, non-thermal, biological effects on plants, insects and animals, as well as the human body when exposed to levels that are below the official threshold values.

One must respect the precautionary principle and revise the current threshold values; waiting for high levels of scientific and clinical proof can lead to very high health and economic costs, as was the case in the past with asbestos, leaded petrol and tobacco.

8.2.1 set preventive thresholds for levels of long-term exposure to microwaves in all indoor areas, in accordance with the precautionary principle, not exceeding 0.6 volts per metre, and in the medium term to reduce it to 0.2 volts per metre.

EMF Radiation medical research paper written and Compiled by Martin L. Pall, PhD. Professor

Emeritus of Biochemistry and Basic Medical Sciences, Washington State University.

<https://www.radiationresearch.org/research/dr-martin-palls-latest-compilation-of-emf-medical-research-literature/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object. After listening to a world leading expert in the video link provided you will understand why this equipment causes harmful effects on humans and our future population. Radiation damage during pregnancy. How it will affect the birth rates, and the female future population.

video link <https://video.icic-net.com/w/qZp85kgJKC9pLrmruXkPRY>

Dr. Reiner Fuellmich conducts an exclusive interview with Barrie Trower, one of the best known Experts in the field of microwave radiation and frequencies, which have been researched and used

as weapons in intelligence agencies since the early 1950s. Barrie Trower, a former career soldier in the Royal Navy and intelligence officer with MI5 and MI6 is a scientist and has also been a University lecturer. His knowledge in the field of microwave and 5G radiation is of considerable Explosive nature and he feels obliged to bring it to the public, which, just like other whistle-blowers,

Has already put him in life-threatening situations.

He reports precisely about the hazardous, entropic effects of microwaves and the possibility to Manipulate nature (e.g. HAARP) and even program the human brain.

The main focus of his research and warnings is on the fatal, pathogenic effects of the misuse of Microwave radiation and pulse frequencies, which disable the natural protection of the human System after only six minutes, on women, pregnant women, embryos, fetuses and on new-borns.

The damage caused is so severe that it brings entire female generational lines to a halt, Endangering human reproduction. Even embryos exposed to these radiations can show cellular Changes that lead to cancer.

Barrie Trower, a courageous whistle-blower and expert warns of the eminent threat to all life on Earth from 5G microwave radiation, and his urgent warning is timelier than ever.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Can we honestly be expected to believe the points of references and peer review material generated by the organisations quoted in the Supporting Document after the last 3 years of false Covid 19 pandemic? All the listed organisations are not lawful and are extremely corrupt. Any decent researcher can prove what I am saying. It's just like the fox guarding the hen house. Local Authority Toolkit 5G & Health states:

For further information, below we have listed additional external sources that you may find helpful in regard to 5G and health.

Ofcom and HM Government 5G health guide: https://uploads-ssl.webflow.com/5b7ab54b285deca6a63ee27b/5f3fbf86c97b38101210ae5a_5G%20EMF%20Guide.pdf

Public Health England - 5G technologies: radio waves and health:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/5g-technologies-radio-waves-and-health/5g-technologies-radiowaves-and-health>

World Health Organization (WHO) - Radiation: 5G mobile networks and health:

<https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/radiation-5g-mobile-networks-and-health>

Which? - Is 5G safe?: <https://www.which.co.uk/news/2020/06/is-5g-safe-everything-you-need-to-know-on-the-5g-powered-future/>

BBC - Does 5G pose health risks?: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-48616174>

BBC Click - Testing the safety of 5G:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k2t1dUCyE0I&feature=youtu.be>

Cancer Research UK - Do mobile phones cause cancer?:

<https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/causes-of-cancer/cancer-myths/do-mobile-phones-cause-cancer>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The dangers of this technology is clearly deadly, indiscriminate and it should not be easily accepted as safe.

Please reconsider this application being in a high residential area .the negative health implications of giving 300hertz pass through the body are now being raised in every medical journals, you're going to have a up rise in cancers , blood disorders, and so on, if you're ready for the damage it will cause .and ill health it will bring

Profits before people's health and safety will not be accepted nor will the excuse I was just doing my job in any court of law when people are held accountable.

<https://actionagainst5g.org/health/health-impacts/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

Scientific evidence invalidates health assumptions underlying the ICNIRP exposure limit determinations for radiofrequency radiation: implications for 5G - Environmental Health

"In this paper, we highlight studies that demonstrate the fallacy of inherent assumptions in the ICNIRP guidelines for RF radiation exposure limits, and we find that the limits fail to protect human and environmental health. Fourteen assumptions that underlie the RFR exposure limits established in the 1990s and reaffirmed in 2020 by the FCC and ICNIRP are addressed in this paper"

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12940-022-00900-9/figures/1>

Exposure limits for RF radiation are based on numerous assumptions; however, research studies published over the past 25 years show that most of those assumptions are not supported by scientific evidence.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

5G EMF - 1557 Page Report from 2012 outlining ALL the Damage Caused.

BioInitiative 2012.

A rationale for biologically - Based exposure standards for low-intensity electromagnetic radiation.

Henry Lai's Research Summaries

Research Summaries have been updated in 2022 for genetic, neurological and free radical (oxidative damage) studies. They are subdivided to show ELF-EMF/static field from RFR studies.

<https://bioinitiative.org/research-summaries/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

This equipment is extremely dangerous to people's health and well-being.

Within this link below you will find 28 sections. Just looking at the title is scary enough. The Harmful effects and reports are there supporting why emf are dangerous to all life.

https://bioinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/secii_Table_of_Contents.pdf

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: If the equipment and technology cannot be proven to cause no harm it shouldn't be allowed to endanger our community and residents.

International Appeal

Scientists call for Protection from Non-ionizing Electromagnetic Field Exposure

We are scientists engaged in the study of biological and health effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF). Based upon peer-reviewed, published research, we have serious concerns regarding the ubiquitous and increasing exposure to EMF generated by electric and wireless devices. These include-but are not limited to-radiofrequency radiation (RFR) emitting devices, such as cellular and cordless phones and their base stations, Wi-Fi, broadcast antennas, smart meters, and baby monitors as well as electric devices and infra-structures used in the delivery of electricity that generate extremely-low frequency electromagnetic field (ELF EMF).

Scientific basis for our common concerns

Numerous recent scientific publications have shown that EMF affects living organisms at levels well below most international and national guidelines. Effects include increased cancer risk, cellular stress, increase in harmful free radicals, genetic damages, structural and functional changes of the reproductive system, learning and memory deficits, neurological disorders, and negative impacts on general well-being in humans. Damage goes well beyond the human race, as there is growing evidence of harmful effects to both plant and animal life.

These findings justify our appeal to the United Nations (UN) and, all member States in the world, to encourage the World Health Organization (WHO) to exert strong leadership in fostering the development of more protective EMF guidelines, encouraging precautionary measures, and educating the public about health risks, particularly risk to children and fetal development. By not

taking action, the WHO is failing to fulfil its role as the preeminent international public health agency.

Link to article listing PHD Scientists calling for Protection from Non-ionizing Electromagnetic Field Exposure.

<https://emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:UK.Gov legislates for Ofcom. The fox guarding the hen house. So it's clearly corporate profit before health and wellbeing of humans.

UK Government Policy on Mobile Infrastructure Deployment. is an expectation not law.

Concerns Ref: SITE SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION document dated 13.02.23.

http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/files/8846CE6A0800313C1D7365496204D0AD/pdf/DM_0114_23_PAT-SITE_SUPPLEMENTARY_INFORMATION-1637389.pdf

1. There is a big presumption made why pre-application advice was not sought from the LPA.
2. Who. And when In accordance with the Code of Best Practice this site has been given a rating of Green?

It states: We operate in accordance with the Code of Best Practice on Mobile Network Development (Nov. 2016). So where is the proof and evidence they have met all the requirements.

Look in to the following:

- <https://post.parliament.uk/research-briefings/post-pb-0032/>
- <https://ehtrust.org/the-european-parliament-panel-health-impact-of-5g/>
- 5G Related carcinogenic and reproductive/development hazards.
- Commonalities between Covid-19 and radiation injury.

- They have been installed by our local council illegally with.
- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
- No Environmental Impact Analysis.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>

- No Insurance Policy for the equipment.

Description of the Site clearly states what or whom is nearby and will be effected.

Quote:

The wider locality predominantly comprises residential dwellings, as well as further mixed uses surrounding the south and east of the Rugby Club such as Medical Centre, a Hotel and a Community Centre

At the beginning of March 2017 the Department of Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS) issued an updated UK Digital Strategy (UK Digital Strategy) with the goal of ensuring that the UK delivers a "world-leading digital economy that works for everyone".

There's pressure and a conflict of interest put upon the planning departments as the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2021. The Government's policy strongly supports communications infrastructure.

Local Policy The North East Lincolnshire Local Plan (Part 1), 2018 sets out the development management policies in the area. The following policies are relevant to the proposal:

Policy 35 Telecommunications 1. Proposals for telecommunications development, including consideration of appropriate prior approval applications will be permitted, or determined, provided that: A. the development is appropriate in terms of siting and appearance, having regard to technical and operational constraints, and does not intrude into or detract from the landscape or urban character of the area; B. applicants demonstrate a sequential approach to show that development cannot be accommodated with less visual intrusion; i. on an existing building, mast or other structure; or, ii. on a site that already contains telecommunications equipment; before new sites

Policy 41 Biodiversity and Geodiversity.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

5G ill-health issues.

Electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS, microwave syndrome)

"Microwave syndrome", is a clinical syndrome characterized by the presence of a wide spectrum of non-specific multiple organ symptoms, typically including central nervous system symptoms, that occur following the patient's acute or chronic exposure to electromagnetic fields in the environment or in occupational settings. Numerous studies have shown biological effects at the cellular level of electromagnetic fields (EMF) at magnetic (ELF) and radio-frequency (RF) frequencies in extremely low intensities. Many of the mechanisms described for Multiple Chemical Sensitivity (MCS) apply with modification to EHS. Repeated exposures result in sensitization and consequent enhancement of response. Many hypersensitive patients appear to have impaired detoxification systems that become overloaded by excessive oxidative stress. EMF can induce changes in calcium signalling cascades, significant activation of free radical processes and Overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in living cells as well as altered neurological and Cognitive functions and disruption of the blood-brain barrier.

link to article.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32289567/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

5G exposure effects

Two U.S. government reports from the 1970s to 1980s provide evidence for many neuropsychiatric effects of non-thermal microwave EMFs, based on occupational exposure studies. 18 more recent epidemiological studies, provide substantial evidence that microwave EMFs from cell/mobile phone base stations, excessive cell/mobile phone usage and from wireless smart meters can each produce similar patterns of neuropsychiatric effects, with several of these studies showing clear dose-response relationships. Lesser evidence from 6 additional studies suggests that short wave, radio station, occupational and digital TV antenna exposures may produce similar neuropsychiatric effects. Among the more commonly reported changes are sleep disturbance/insomnia, headache, depression/depressive symptoms, fatigue/tiredness, dysesthesia, concentration/attention dysfunction, memory changes, dizziness, irritability, loss of appetite/body weight, restlessness/anxiety, nausea, skin burning/tingling/dermographism and EEG changes. In summary, then, the mechanism of action of microwave EMFs, the role of the VGCCs in the brain, the impact of non-thermal EMFs on the brain, extensive epidemiological studies performed over the past 50 years, and five criteria testing for causality, all collectively show that various non-thermal microwave EMF exposures produce diverse neuropsychiatric effects.

taken from this article.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26300312/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

5G ill-health effects.

Millimeter (MM) wave and microwave frequency radiation produce deeply penetrating effects: the biology and the physics

Millimeter wave (MM-wave) electromagnetic fields (EMFs) are predicted to not produce penetrating effects in the body. The electric but not magnetic part of MM-EMFs are almost completely absorbed within the outer 1 mm of the body. Rodents are reported to have penetrating MM-wave impacts on the brain, the myocardium, liver, kidney and bone marrow. MM-waves produce electromagnetic sensitivity-like changes in rodent, frog and skate tissues. In humans, MM-waves have penetrating effects including impacts on the brain, producing EEG changes and other neurological/neuropsychiatric changes, increases in apparent electromagnetic hypersensitivity and produce changes on ulcers and cardiac activity. This review focuses on several issues required to understand penetrating effects of MM-waves and microwaves:

link to article.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34043892/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Who is taking full public liability in their person capacity for any loss, harm or injury caused by this equipment being installed under this planning application?

Name the Wo/Man taking liability not their position within a company. and do not give any company name taking full liability.

Any persons rebutting any information outlined in this document needs to validate their rebuttal claims or statements with factual evidence written in plain English. Wo/Men who wish fact check or rebut items covered in this document may wish to contact or seek advice and guidance. To the best of my knowledge these are key people within NE Linc's council who may be able to help in building any rebuttal:

Rob Walsh, NEL Chief Executive, rob.walsh@nelincs.gov.uk

Simon Jones, NEL Chief Legal Monitoring Officer, simon.jones1@nelincs.gov.uk

Richard Catlyn, NEL Service Manages, Richard.catlyn@nelincs.go.uk

Paul Ellis, NEL Head of Information, Governance & Complaints, paul.ellis@nelincs.gov.uk

The Section 151 Officer is Sharon Wroot. (Sharon.wroot@nelincs.gov.uk telephone (01472) 324423)

I believe residents and visitors within NE Lincs are being exposed to adverse health effects of 5G.

Look in to the following:

- <https://post.parliament.uk/research-briefings/post-pb-0032/>
- <https://ehtrust.org/the-european-parliament-panel-health-impact-of-5g/>
- 5G Related carcinogenic and reproductive/development hazards.
- Commonalities between Covid-19 and radiation injury.
- They have been installed by our local council illegally with.

- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
- No Environmental Impact Analysis.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>
- No Insurance Policy for the equipment.

I believe NE Lincs planning have been ill-informed and mislead. The reasons may be due to underfunding, Lacking in Technical expertise, poor guidance within legislations, gov.uk targets and policies, staffing levels or workload to correctly and thoroughly process plus analyse the information. This deception allowed permitted Telecommunication application to be passed which are unlawful and in breach of the Law & legislation:

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was first published on 27 March 2012 and updated on 24 July 2018, 19 February 2019 and 20 July 2021. This sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied.

A new version of the National Planning Policy Framework was published on 20 July 2021.

National Planning Policy Framework - Guidance - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

I believe there is ground to cease and desist with current applications, review the facts and remove all unlawful Telecommunication installations under the correct government legislations.

Here are a few places to look.

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Guidance. Planning obligations

Use of planning obligations and process for changing obligations.

Planning obligations - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (legislation.gov.uk)

(3) Subject to subsection (4) a planning obligation is enforceable by the authority identified in accordance with subsection (9)(d)-

(a) against the person entering into the obligation; and

(b) against any person deriving title from that person.

Planning Permission - Demolition - Planning Portal

2. (d) the use of any buildings or other land within the curtilage of a dwelling house for any purpose incidental to the enjoyment of the dwelling house as such;

The demolition of the whole or any part of buildings which are, or include, statues, memorials and monuments, not covered by section 75(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990) is considered to be 'relevant demolition'. It is an offence under section 196D of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to demolish such a statue, memorial, monument without first obtaining planning permission.

- Illegal construction https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Illegal_construction
- Illegal building activity can be a major environmental violation
- Illegal building can effect valuable real property sales or prices.

- A danger to our biophysical environment. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Environmental_

Can I suggest The NEL Section 151 Officer is Sharon Wroot. (Sharon.wroot@nelincs.gov.uk telephone (01472) 324423) is kept inform just to prevent any further acts of perjury or fraud.

Perjury Act 1911 section 5

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/1->

[2/6/section/5#:~:text=5%20False%20statutory%20declarations%20and%20other%20false%20statements%20without%20oath.](https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/1-2/6/section/5#:~:text=5%20False%20statutory%20declarations%20and%20other%20false%20statements%20without%20oath.)

Fraud Act 2006 Section 7

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/35/section/7>

UNITED NATIONS - HUMAN RIGHTS. Article 7.

All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

When similar applications with dangerous and harmful equipment are repeatedly being allowed to be submitted then it's best that people can clearly see if key factual details are being ignored. Yes. All the planning applications are accessible on an open source public access data base.

Here is a list of similar telecommunications, Cell towers, monopoles, Lattice towers, aerial, radio, and microwave, radiation frequency, transmitting equipment applications I have raised my objections and concerns on in the past.

25 - 29 St Peters Avenue Cleethorpes North East Lincolnshire DN35 8HF.

Ref. No: DM/1053/22/PAT. Case officer. Owen Toop.

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=RM636FLJMIY00&activeTab=summary>

Mast At Grimsby Rugby Club Springfield Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 3JE

Ref No. DM/0114/23/PAT Case officer. Owen Toop.

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=RQ0YHELJHWZ00&activeTab=summary>

Civic Centre Pelham Road Immingham North East Lincolnshire DN40 1QF

Ref No. DM/0192/23/PAT Case officer. Owen Toop.

<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=RQYCPNLJIBB00&activeTab=summary>

Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire
Ref No. DM/0573/23/PAT Case officer. Owen Toop
<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=makeComment&keyVal=RW90FPLJJHX00>

Land At Laceby Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN34 5BG
Ref No. DM/0545/23/PAT Case officer. Becca Soulsby
<http://planninganddevelopment.nelincs.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RVW1Q7LJJEM00>

No matter if it is the company, corporation or individual submitting and dealing with any planning application they all need to be aware of the following laws within our society that they need to abide by.

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

"Ignorantia Juris Non Excuse"

<https://www.gov.uk/hmrc-internal-manuals/compliance-handbook/ch160600>

Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, "No Harm Rule."

<https://leap.unep.org/knowledge/glossary/no-harm-rule>

Misconduct in Public Office

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/misconduct-public-office>

via the

Bill of Rights [1688]

1688 CHAPTER 2 1 Will and Mar Sess 2

An Act declaring the Rights and Liberties of the Subject and Settling the Succession of the Crowne.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/WillandMarSess2/1/2/introduction>

it clearly states Crown cannot be held liable when their actors do not do their job, so with knowledge persons can no longer claim a honest mistake. Under:
Crown Proceedings Act 1947

.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: EMF Radiation maximum exposure = 1MW per cm² which equals 60 Electron volts in air. At these levels it causes a thermal impact that starts cooking people. It also causes EM Radiation poisoning.

Symptoms: Ring in the ears. Hair loss. Skin problems. Depression. Anxiety. Breathing issues. Cancer and many more including Leukaemia.

The guild lines state 61 Electron volts.

At 13.5 Electron volts air starts to become positively charged which effects many organs in our bodies including Kidneys. Liver plus an increase in Heart attacks.

This technology uses focused beam waves which puts it in the Category 1 cancer causing radiation equipment. It also causes ionization with energy values over 13.5 Electron volts.

To obtain the correct desired effect these installations (Cell towers/Transmitters/repeaters) require an overlapping transmit ion which have a compounding outputs above 13.5 EV.

Why have they been installed by our local council illegally with?

- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
- No Environmental Impact Analysis.
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>
- No Insurance Policy for the equipment.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The Authority has been engaged in the wilful deployment of (5GW) Fifth Generation Weapons equipment emitting biologically toxic radiation across the Town/city, without any due care to the dangers posed to environment from the battlefield urban radar technology. This hardware by design to cause torturous, physical, including psychological harms and death to the population. Criminal entities within the Councils, following Globalist terrorism goals have facilitated this illegal unlawful deployment to create a 15min digital city prison for the control and extermination of the population. The criminals are relying on 5GW for imminent terrorism attacks to cause death and the destruction of property

THE LAW

Criminal Law act 1967 Section 3 (1) A person may use such force as is reasonable in the circumstances in the prevention of crimes. The necessity of my actions are fulfilling my legal duty, availing myself to my legal right to prevent a more serious evil to myself and others from a number of crimes including the following.

17) 2012 Social care Act, P.21 (4) applies in relation to any function under this section which relates to- (a) the protection of the public from ionizing or non-ionizing radiation

18) 1981 Wildlife and Countryside Act.

19) Equality and disability Act 1995 Section 55. It is unlawful to discriminate against another by

way of victimization

20) DIRECTIVE 2009/147/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds

21) Article 174 (2) The European Community treaty provides that all Community policy on the environment shall be based on the precautionary principle the environmental protection act 1990.

22) Forgery act 1913 by false representation tendering and using false instrument

23) Misconduct in Public office.

24) Offences against the person Act 1861 the administering of a noxious substance a destructive thing occasioning harm.

25) Accessories and Abettors Act 1861 as amended by the Criminal law act 1977

26) Genocide Act 1969 section (a) killing members of the group (b) causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group (c) deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part (d) imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group.

27) The Nuremberg Code.

28) Article 174 (2) The European Community treaty provides that all Community policy on the environment shall be based on the precautionary principle the environmental protection act 1990.

29) DIRECTIVE 2009/147/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild bird

30) Infant life (Preservation) Act 1929 offences against a foetus causing child destruction

31) Health and Safety Statutory instruments. No 588. The control of Electromagnetic fields at work regulations 2016

32) EN 62311:2008 Assessment of electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure (0Hz-300GHz)

Human rights laws, including

Article 2 - Right to life

Article 3 - Prohibition of torture and inhuman and degrading treatment

Article 5 - Right to liberty and security

Article 8 - Right to respect for private and family life

Article 14 - Prohibition of discrimination

Torts, negligence, privacy, enjoyment of one's land, assault and trespass against the person due to the reckless deployment of the Telensa radar battlefield derived weapon by the D's.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8580522/>

In this study, we examined the peer-reviewed scientific literature on the detrimental bioeffects of Wireless Communication Radiation (WCR) and identified several mechanisms by which WCR may have contributed to the COVID-19 pandemic as a toxic environmental cofactor. By crossing boundaries between the disciplines of biophysics and pathophysiology, we present evidence that WCR may:

- (1) cause morphologic changes in erythrocytes including echinocyte and rouleaux formation that can contribute to hypercoagulation;
- (2) impair microcirculation and reduce erythrocyte and haemoglobin levels exacerbating hypoxia;
- (3) amplify immune system dysfunction, including immunosuppression, autoimmunity, and hyperinflammation;
- (4) increase cellular oxidative stress and the production of free radicals resulting in vascular injury and organ damage;
- (5) increase intracellular Ca²⁺ essential for viral entry, replication, and release, in addition to promoting pro-inflammatory pathways; and

(6) worsen heart arrhythmias and cardiac disorders.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

5G does not comply with ICNIRP Guidelines. ICNIRP self cert is a false instrument this is fraud.

The Forgery and Counterfeiting Act 1981.

Definitions

False instrument

Section 1 Forgery Act 1981 states:

A person is guilty of forgery if he makes a false instrument, with the intention that he or another shall use it to induce somebody to accept it as genuine, and by reason of so accepting it to do or not to do some act to his own or any other person's prejudice."

It must be shown that D intended for the false instrument it be used to induce somebody to accept it as genuine and, by reason of so accepting it, to do or not to do some act to his own or another's prejudice: R. v. Mary Sylvia Campbell (1985) 80 Cr.App.R. 47, CA.

An intention to induce another to accept a copy of a forgery will also suffice: R v Ondhia (1998) 2 Cr.App.R 150 CA

"Instrument" - the definition for instrument is found at section 8 Forgery and Counterfeiting Act 1981

Instrument includes any document, postage stamp (or mark denoting payment) , Inland Revenue stamp, disk tape, sound track or other device on which information is stored by any means. It does not include a currency note (see offences under sections 14, 16, 17, 20 and 21 Forgery and Counterfeiting Act 1981).

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal.../forgery-and-counterfeiting>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

My objection is based on Blight claims will be taken from our council tax payments.

Residents of NELC can claim for 20% loss of value due to 5G. 20% is the average reduction in house value and also mention cancer increase to wake the community up.

<https://www.gov.uk/.../land.../part-2-blight-notice>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Trafford Planning has refused two 5G masts one in Urmston and the other in Timperley. Council believes a 5G mast in Timperley did not comply with ICNIRP guidelines. July 13, 2022

This week Trafford Planning has refused two 5G masts one in Urmston and the other in Timperley, this is great news however the reasons for refusal of the Timperley mast is very interesting.

The reasons for refusal (2) read:

The application fails to demonstrate that the proposal would be in compliance with the International Commission on Non-ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) Public Exposure Guidelines. As such, the proposal would fail to comply with the provisions of paragraph 117 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

So effectively the council are saying that the 18 metre monopole with several antennas on it is actually a danger to the public since it is not compliant for radiation exposure levels.

We can go one further after finding out officially that a 5G or even a 4G mast should be around 500m away from a residential area, we can inform you that many masts are well within this figure, for example and at a rough estimate:

Now the council has made a statement that the Timperley mast did not comply with exposure guidelines then all must be like this since its the same frequency for all 5G masts although Three has a little bit more spectrum and so for every approval it seems they did not fully understand the guidelines or even read it.

We believe this is the case with many of the political and parish councillors, it is a technical document and you would need a degree in many sciences to understand it fully, however is it not irresponsible to be claiming something is safe, claiming "It's alright" and other things when they know nothing about the frequencies and what it will be doing not only to the residents and animals in that area but to themselves.

This is truly shocking and Trafford Planning need to stop the networks immediately so no further damage can be caused until they are sure the frequencies are safe which they will never be.

<https://zero5g.com/2022/good-news-uk-two-5g-masts-refused/>

<https://news4trafford.co.uk/.../council-believes-a-5g.../>

planning portal.

<https://secure.telford.gov.uk/planningsearch/>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

Have you ensured this planning application meets all the requirements in which are outlined and is the current Statutory instrument Known as: The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England)?

The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) (Amendment) (No. 2) Order 2016

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2016/1040/made>

The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) (Amendment) (No. 3) Order 2020

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2020/756/made>

I would like to draw your attention to Some Items covered within the National Planning Policy Framework that may help addressing the public's comments. This National planning policy fails to take into account the real dangers. It's been well documented the dangers these installation have on the health of Humans. Wildlife and vegetation.

Explain legally and lawfully why for every application there is.

1. No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.

2. No Environmental Impact Analysis.

3. No Insurance Policy for the equipment, against loss, harm or injury from the effects of 5G.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Miss Gail Howson

Address: 25 Warwick avenue Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: These 5g towers emit high levels of radiation and a hazard to all life.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0573/23/PAT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0573/23/PAT

Address: Land West Of Winchester Avenue Grimsby North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Prior approval for proposed telecommunications installation for 15.0m high slim line Monopole mast and associated ancillary works

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Draper

Address: 38 Faulding Way Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:

My reasons for raising this objection.

Any persons rebutting any information outlined in this document needs to validate their rebuttal claims or statements with factual evidence written in plain English. Wo/Men who wish fact check or rebut items covered in this document may wish to contact or seek advice and guidance. To the best of my knowledge these are key people within NE Linc's council who may be able to help in building any rebuttal:

Rob Walsh, NEL Chief Executive, rob.walsh@nelincs.gov.uk

Simon Jones, NEL Chief Legal Monitoring Officer, simon.jones1@nelincs.gov.uk

Richard Catlyn, NEL Service Manages, Richard.catlyn@nelincs.go.uk

Paul Ellis, NEL Head of Information, Governance & Complaints, paul.ellis@nelincs.gov.uk

The Section 151 Officer is Sharon Wroot. (Sharon.wroot@nelincs.gov.uk telephone (01472) 324423)

I believe residents and visitors within NE Lincs are being exposed to adverse health effects of 5G.

Look in to the following:

- <https://post.parliament.uk/research-briefings/post-pb-0032/>
- <https://ehtrust.org/the-european-parliament-panel-health-impact-of-5g/>
- 5G Related carcinogenic and reproductive/development hazards.
- Commonalities between Covid-19 and radiation injury.
- They have been installed by our local council illegally with.
- No Health and Safety Risk Assessment.
- No Environmental Impact Analysis.

- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-impact-assessment-tools>
- No Insurance Policy for the equipment.

I believe NE Lincs planning have been ill-informed and misled. The reasons may be due to underfunding, Lacking in Technical expertise, poor guidance within legislations, gov.uk targets and policies, staffing levels or workload to correctly and thoroughly process plus analyse the information. This deception allowed permitted Telecommunication application to be passed which are unlawful and in breach of the Law & legislation:

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was first published on 27 March 2012 and updated on 24 July 2018, 19 February 2019 and 20 July 2021. This sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied.

A new version of the National Planning Policy Framework was published on 20 July 2021.

National Planning Policy Framework - Guidance - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

I believe there is ground to cease and desist with current applications, review the facts and remove all unlawful Telecommunication installations under the correct government legislations. Here are a few places to look.

Local Government Act 1888 Part 5 section 79 section 2.

All duties and liabilities of the inhabitants of a county shall become and be duties and liabilities of the council of such county.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/51-52/41/section/79>

Guidance. Planning obligations

Use of planning obligations and process for changing obligations.

Planning obligations - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (legislation.gov.uk) (3) Subject to subsection (4) a planning obligation is enforceable by the authority identified in accordance with subsection (9)(d)-

(a) against the person entering into the obligation; and

(b) against any person deriving title from that person.

Planning Permission - Demolition - Planning Portal

2. (d) the use of any buildings or other land within the curtilage of a dwelling house for any purpose incidental to the enjoyment of the dwelling house as such;

The demolition of the whole or any part of buildings which are, or include, statues, memorials and monuments, not covered by section 75(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990) is considered to be 'relevant demolition'. It is an offence under section 196D of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to demolish such a statue, memorial, monument without first obtaining planning permission.

- Illegal construction https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Illegal_construction
- Illegal building activity can be a major environmental violation
- Illegal building can effect valuable real property sales or prices.

- A danger to our biophysical environment. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Environmental_

Can I suggest The NEL Section 151 Officer is Sharon Wroot. (Sharon.wroot@nelincs.gov.uk telephone (01472) 324423) is kept inform just to prevent any further acts of perjury or fraud.

Perjury Act 1911 section 5

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/1->

[2/6/section/5#:~:text=5%20False%20statutory%20declarations%20and%20other%20false%20statements%20without%20oath.](https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/1-2/6/section/5#:~:text=5%20False%20statutory%20declarations%20and%20other%20false%20statements%20without%20oath.)

Fraud Act 2006 Section 7

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/35/section/7>

UNITED NATIONS - HUMAN RIGHTS. Article 7.

All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection.

ARTICLES SEVEN 7 UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS 1948 NATIONS

UNITED (nations-united.org)

.

Item 5 - 21 Church Lane
Humberston -
DM/0324/23/FULA



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

[Tel:- 07494 577661](tel:07494577661)

[Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com](mailto:clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com)

Planning, North East Lincs Council

26th April 2023

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 25th April 2023 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0324/23/FULA

Proposal: Alterations and extensions to include two storey rear extensions, first floor side extension with car port below, two storey extension to side and two storey extension to front. Loft conversion with roof lights and alterations to roof. Alterations to driveway and new landscaping to front and side boundary.

Location: 21 Church Lane Humberston

Objections – too large a proposal and would result in an over-intensification of the plot. The Members felt this proposal would have a detrimental impact upon the neighbouring properties and any potential development on this plot should be reduced in size to reflect the size of dwellings adjacent and so as not to be over dominant.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

**Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council
Humberston Village Council**

Comments for Planning Application DM/0324/23/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0324/23/FULA

Address: 21 Church Lane Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4HZ

Proposal: Alterations and extensions to include two storey rear extensions, first floor side extension with car port below, two storey extension to side and two storey extension to front. Loft conversion with roof lights and alterations to roof. Alterations to driveway and new landscaping to front and side boundary.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mr Arthur Akrill

Address: 19a Church Lane Humberston Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Our property, 19a Church Lane is directly affected by the planning application.

The hedgerows at the front, at the side and on the left of the property, as you look at the property from the front, have already been removed and work on the drive is already well underway, which although planning permission has not yet been granted, technically contradicts the planning permission though we understand the need to get started given their narrow drive.

Our understanding is that the hedgerows to the front will be replaced and understand it will take many years to put back this habitat for the wildlife so we would want to be reassured this is the case.

The tall privet hedgerow, which forms the boundary between our property (19a Church Lane) and the subject property must remain in place. This is our hedgerow, as seen on the architects plans and our old plans too. This hedgerow grows in front of our rear garden wall and was designed as such to create a walled garden on our property with a squared off hedge sitting to the top of the wall in addition to which affords additional and protective screening and privacy. Each year, this hedgerow is a common nesting place for hedge sparrows, finches and blue tits.

Reiteration; This subject hedgerow forms the boundary between the two properties and not our rear garden wall but the plans reflect this anyway.

We have concerns with regard to the left, as you look at the property from the front, proposed two storey extension, that this will impose, greatly overlooking into our rear garden and the 'footings' of which may well underpin the hedgerow and our garden wall.

We are however pleased to see the obscured window to the top but we would want to understand

from planning and building regs whether building up 2 storeys will affect the integrity of our wall, outbuilding and our hedgerow as we wouldn't want them to crumble or the hedgerow damaged with regard to footings. We ask this as having done a one storey extension and been asked to dig down extremely deeply by planning and building inspector we need to ascertain just how deep these footings would be and therein the effect.

Our final concern is for the sheer size of what is proposed in that 2 storeys to the back will dominate not only our property but that of the cloisters property and the original house is already a fair size looking at it from our garden. We originally understood it to be a one storey extension proposal. We assume regardless of size that the last bits of greenery i.e. the large bushes on the property where the extension will go would need to be removed. While we understand there is no right as such to a view, the view we do have is of the greenery not only next door to us but the beautiful large trees in the background further away. It would be a shame to see that go as we are only a bungalow. We feel this large executive home planned could be tailored down a little to reflect the others in what is after all a conservation area and historical lane.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0324/23/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0324/23/FULA

Address: 21 Church Lane Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4HZ

Proposal: Alterations and extensions to include two storey rear extensions, first floor side extension with car port below, two storey extension to side and two storey extension to front. Loft conversion with roof lights and alterations to roof. Alterations to driveway and new landscaping to front and side boundary.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Samantha SMITH

Address: 23 Church Lane Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: After viewing the planning application I wish to object to the side extension with car port below. The movement of the house towards our house number 23 will overshadow our property causing significant loss of light. The side extension will be as tall as the roof so will have detrimental impacts to our side windows. The movement towards our dwelling will cause a lack of privacy.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0324/23/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0324/23/FULA

Address: 21 Church Lane Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4HZ

Proposal: Alterations and extensions to include two storey rear extensions, first floor side extension with car port below, two storey extension to side and two storey extension to front. Loft conversion with roof lights and alterations to roof. Alterations to driveway and new landscaping to front and side boundary.

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Sheryl Williams

Address: 14 The Cloisters Humberston Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We would like to register our objections to the two storey extension planned at no 21 church lane.

For The following reasons,. As we are a single storey bungalow to the side of the proposed extension, we believe it would significantly cut out our current daylight to all three of our back rooms where one is a living room, one a bedroom and the other our kitchen, this extension would significantly overshadow our bungalow as it is built well below the ground level of the existing house and therefore we would be dwarfed even more than it already is by such a huge two storey extension, the proposed extension means that we would be looking out onto a two storey brick wall within a couple of meters of the boundary line, the laurel hedge that already exists on the boundary does let light through and also provides privacy from the onlookers bedroom, with the proposed extension we would be overlooked by two bedroom windows, this would lead to a significant reduction in our privacy, our neighbors would be able to see straight into our kitchen from the proposed two new bedrooms, the hedge that is there now provides privacy to us and the current owners, I'm sure this hedge would be cut lower or even taken down to make room for the proposed new extension meaning we will be sat facing a two storey brick wall from three of our rooms.

We would never have purchased our bungalow if we thought that a great big extension would ever be considered to be built so close to the boundary and therefore blocking out all our daylight to the back of the property, this extension would run nearly halfway if not more down the back of our bungalow.

We are also quite worried about the proposed new surface water runoff going into waterbutts, with the amount of rainfall in winter the butts would soon be overflowing into the garden and also into

our garden, this would surely cause a risk of pooling water or worse still flooding even with soakaways.

We urge you to take these points into consideration.

Sheryl Williams

Item 6 - Mariners Service
Station 196 Waltham Road
Grimsby - DM/0152/23/FUL

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy and two covered jet wash bays, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Robert Giles

Address: 7 Orchards Croft Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: As a close neighbour to the site we use this facility a lot. While it will be a loss to not have the automatic car wash facility, I am very much in favour of having the electric car charging facility. As an electric car owner I can confirm that this area is lacking in public chargers, and overall demand for public charging is outstripping supply. This proposal will support the governments objectives of increasing public charging availability. I am in support of this proposal.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy and two covered jet wash bays, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Kris Drake

Address: 1 Wood Close Scartho Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We are the neighbouring domestic property to the Mariners Petrol Station, and after viewing the planned work here we mainly have 2 comments or objections about the plans. Firstly and mainly, the plans to remove existing hedges and build a substation enclosure to the front of the petrol station is right on the boundary of our front garden. And although our address is Wood Close, our front garden also faces directly onto Waltham Road, and into the front of the petrol station. Our living room is on that side of the property and our main living room window, which is very large at 2.4m wide x 1.5m high, provides a panoramic view of the entrance to Wood Close, Waltham Road, and the 1 metre high boundary wall of our property which has the petrol station hedges just to the other side at roughly 10ft high. The area can be described as very green, with lots of plants, shrubs and trees all around, left to right. Currently the hedges on the petrol station's land provide us with a natural screen which gives us visual privacy from the petrol station, but also from Waltham Road to a certain degree, and we really would rather not lose this privacy by having the hedges removed. But to add to that a substation will be built right at the front of the land, as shown on the planning document, which I've been informed is to be 2.6m high, that's nearly 9 foot, and possibly 2.8m wide. We strongly feel that not only would that ruin the view from our front window, and possibly affect resale value of our home negatively, it would also ruin the aesthetics of the whole road/area. I believe the boundary wall between us and the petrol station was built by the petrol station when it was converted from the previous business many years ago. Along the front garden of our property, the boundary wall is only 1 metre high, and runs along to approximately 13m which wouldn't give much privacy at all on its own. At the 13m point it steps up to approximately 5ft high, and then 6ft high further down into our back garden. I can only assume that it was built to the height of 1 metre x 13 metres because of the aesthetics of the area, and because it is at the front of the property. I mean let's face it, if we now built the wall, or a fence up

to 2.6m to the very front of our property, where the sub station is proposed to be built, im sure somebody from the planning department would immediately come and knock at our door. However, with all of this said, if the substation needs to be built somewhere on the petrol station land to complete this work, the neighbouring properties to the rear of the land already have conifers and/or fences which are roughly 20-25ft high. If the substation was built right at the back of their land, it wouldnt be in view of anybody except the petrol station and their customers. To add, the hedges provide sactuary and habitat to local wildlife such as birds and insects, which is also why we'd like to keep the greenery of our area.

Our second comment/objection actually only came about last night (11/3/23) having seen a recently installed EV charging station in another service station. The light polution was unbelievable, and certainly not suitable for a domestic location. The Petrol station has recently had new signage installed which is much brighter than the original lighting and is occasionally left on 24 hours a day, this coupled with the very bright carwash lights that are always left on 24 hours a day, and flash profusely is extremely rude and annoying given that it actually illuminates one of the bedrooms of our property. I guess given that we chose to buy a house next to a petrol station, we have so far just accepted this. But if the EV charging stations are going to be lit up like Blackpool illuminations like the ones we saw, then we really would strongly request that some sort of timed "off" function really must be installed to be used on a daily basis. And in fact, are there any plans for the opening hours to be increased? As this could affect our comments.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy and two covered jet wash bays, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Marie Wilson

Address: 2 Wood Close Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I appreciate that the proposal may be within planning guidelines and whilst I am generally in favour of the update and modernisation of the premises I would like to raise the following areas of concern.

Shrubbery

At a time when we are being asked to consider wild life and put in place in our gardens items to encourage and support nature it seems odd that established shrubbery (although untidy) is being removed which will disrupt the small eco system already established. There is no suggestion to replace this greenery, this is a good opportunity to landscape this area with wild life in mind. It is worrying that established leylandii in which birds appear to nest is also being removed.

Back corner

There is an unused area at the back of the site on the right hand side. This has no proposals for development, concern here would that it becomes an area for rubbish to collect and attract vermin.

Substation

Whilst acknowledging that the position of this may have passed planning recommendations, it is still a very large structure that will be unsightly so close to the road. It may also block visibility for vehicles when leaving Wood Close looking for traffic approaching from the right. This is a large site, it could be repositioned to a more discreet position.

With reference to the removal of shrubbery, repositioning the substation would free this part of the site for landscaping which would also enhance the frontage of the site.

24 hour opening

If charging is to be available. 24 hours I would ask that vehicle access to and exit from the site be restricted to between the hours of 7am to 10pm daily.

I have concerns that although the charging points and electric cars are quieter than petrol/diesel vehicles there will be noise for example of conversations/talking and doors slamming in the night. This is a residential areas with families some of whom have young children

Lighting

I have concerns about the lighting of the charging points because of the close proximity to our property. Even if the lighting is directed downwards it will still be seen through the transparent roof which should be replaced by non transparent material.

Canopies

There appears to be no provision for rain water to drain from the canopies into the main drainage system. Water will collect at the base of the boundary walls adjoining the residential properties and in time will cause damage.

I would also ask that the EV units are moved further away from the rear boundary wall of our property to discourage access to our rear garden from the canopies over the existing rear wall and fence.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy and two covered jet wash bays, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr david acton

Address: 3 Wood Close GRIMSBY

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:When originally the filling station was re-designed in September 1990 it was understood that the green space bordering the residential properties was created to give some relief from petrol station procedures. We now appear to be overriding the previous requirement for this green barrier?

On reflection, considering the types of property in the area with driveways etc. vehicles are capable of being charged at home. Consequently is this a sensible and viable place for this type of facility?

More vehicle movements closer to our properties will mean extra noise, not just cars but perhaps large vans who may also make use of the charging facilities. More noise pollution immediately adjacent to their property is not what people want when working or relaxing in their back gardens.

Will there be extended operating times? There is already a lot of light pollution at the moment from the site (lights left on all night) and from what I gather these charging areas are further light pollution sources.

Will the charging areas attract groups of youths with mischief in mind?

Removal of shrubbery is not a good idea. The height of the canopies (3.2 metres) makes them clearly visible from our back garden. Our green view will be replaced by one of the charging canopies. There will certainly be a loss of value of the residential properties surrounding the proposed development.

In the Grimsby area there appear to be approximately ten commercial charging stations. None appear to be in such close proximity to houses. The proposed chargers appear to be less than one metre from house garden walls. Is it safe to install high voltage equipment so close to residential property boundaries? Usually they are located in a more commercial location? At the moment the properties have no risk but risk is being introduced in the form of charging equipment and lithium batteries exploding. London Fire Brigade in 2019 attended approximately sixty cases of electric car related charging incidents.

Will we have to inform our property insurance company of the situation (potential for explosion now introduced immediately adjacent to our properties)? If so premiums may increase?

What will drivers do whilst their cars are charging? There is the potential for increased littering at the proposed development and over the wall into our gardens.

At present the filling station has erected a board which somewhat obscures the traffic approaching from Waltham. Would the proposed substation be a further hindrance from this point of view?

In addition some electric substations emit a low frequency humming sound which is very uncomfortable for some people. Will this be a problem?

All things considered I do not think this is a very sensible development at all and the impact on surrounding residential properties will be too great.

At minimum the existing green space and surrounding shrubbery should be preserved with the "development" being restricted to the concrete "footprint" that the premises currently occupies.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works (amended plans).

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr david acton

Address: 3 Wood Close GRIMSBY

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Appears that the owners have taken note of the residents worries, which is good. I do not think there can be a better arrangement than this proposed one . Dave Acton ..

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy and two covered jet wash bays, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Allan Burdett

Address: 9 Adelphi Drive Scartho Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: My house backs on to the garage site, and we have become used to occasional noise from the car wash. However, the existing car wash is a distance from our boundary, and the jet wash even further (near the road). In my opinion there is no need to relocate the jet wash.

Having read other comments I too am concerned about the light from the EV charging points, particularly if they are going to be left on after closing (how is this environmentally sensible?).

I have a high hedge to shield noise and light, but removal of the greenery on the site risks this being compromised, and again is I believe unnecessary. I understand it also breaches the original agreement made when the site was redeveloped.

A reasonable distance must be maintained between my boundary and any working part of the site. In its current form I object to the plan.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works (amended plans).

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Allan Burdett

Address: 9 Adelphi Drive Scartho Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The amended plans do not adequately take into account the effect on my property, particularly the location of noise producing equipment. Indeed, the noise report says 9 Adelphi Drive is one of the 'closest and most affected properties to proposed sources of noise and activity'. There is nothing in the plan to mitigate the effect by e.g. siting equipment further away. I object to the amended plan.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy and two covered jet wash bays, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Norman Balderson

Address: 10, Adelphi Drive Scartho Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Increased noise closer to our properties.

removing trees would breach an agreement made in a previous planning application.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works (amended plans).

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Norman Balderson

Address: 10, Adelphi Drive Scartho Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The trees between the houses & the petrol station to remain & they should be properly maintained at a level that ensures all the canopies are not visible from our houses. This has not happened in the past. The whole operation should be closed at a reasonable hour in the evening to avoid noise at unsociable hours.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works (amended plans).

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Victoria morris

Address: 11 Adelphi Drive scartho Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We have tankers facing into our garden on a regular basis looking over the wall. We have also no privacy when people are putting air into tyres. There is a gap in the conifer which make it noisy for us on a daily basis.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works (amended plans).

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Victoria morris

Address: 11 Adelphi Drive scartho Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: We have tankers facing into our garden on a regular basis looking over the wall. We have also no privacy when people are putting air into tyres. There is a gap in the conifer which make it noisy for us on a daily basis.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0152/23/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0152/23/FUL

Address: Mariners Service Station 196 Waltham Road Grimsby North East Lincolnshire DN33 2PZ

Proposal: Demolition of car wash and plant room and the creation of car charging zones, including erection of EV chargers and erection of canopy and two covered jet wash bays, sub-station enclosure and associated forecourt works

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Victoria morris

Address: 11 Adelphi Drive scartho Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The Garage already makes a lot of noise from the jets wash it already has. Also the conifers at the back are making it private for the residents so removal of these would effect that. Also wildlife in the conifers would be affected and the noise would be more with removal. I live at number 11 and we do not have privacy from the garage as we have lorries parking up and looking into our property already. We have photographic proof that this has been occurring whilst in the property.

Overall we feel that this would reduce the value of the property and are view and privacy would be affected more than it already is.

Item 7 - Land Off Habrough
Road Immingham -
DM/0508/23/OUT

North East Lincolnshire Planning
New Oxford House
George Street
Grimsby
North East Lincolnshire
DN31 1HB



Working in partnership

Tel: 01472 326289 Option 1

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD AT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Ward Member Reply Slip for Applications to be reported to the Planning Committee

Application Number	Reason for Referring to Planning Committee
DM/0508/23/OUT	NEW INFORMATION RELATING TO CONSTRUCTION THIS DEVELOPMENT WILL BE USING NEW TECHNOLOGY CALLED HEMPCRETE, WITH ADDED BENEFITS FOR CARBON & ZERO REQUIREMENTS THIS COULD BE A LEADING WAY FORWARD FOR NELC AND SHOULD BE SUPPORTED.

Contact Details: -

Signature

... Date 20/07/2023

Name CLL R STEWART SWINBURD

Address: 35 WOODLANDS AVE THURNINGHAM

North East Lincolnshire Planning
New Oxford House, George Street, Grimsby, N E Lincolnshire, DN31 1HB
(01472) 313131 W www.nelincs.gov.uk

EQUANS Services Limited
Registered Office Q3 Quorum Business Park, Benton Road, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE12 8EX.
Registered in England No 598379



INVESTORS

Comments for Planning Application DM/0508/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0508/23/OUT

Address: Land Off Habrough Road Immingham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Outline erection of 7 dwellings with associated access and landscaping (All matters reserved)

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Immingham Town Council

Address: Civic Centre Pelham Road Immingham

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Parish Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The Council objects to the proposed development for the following reasons:

It is believed that this development is outside of NELCs Development Plan

Potential negative impact on the wildlife in the area

The area may be of some historic value and an archaeological survey should be done before any permission is granted

The proposed road seems to go straight past an existing property house, this should be redesigned, with the road and pavement further away, so that an existing resident does not lose the enjoyment of their own property

Speed of vehicles down that area is already a concern for those pulling out of a new road, and visibility coming out of Mill Lane is not the best

Comments for Planning Application DM/0508/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0508/23/OUT

Address: Land Off Habrough Road Immingham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Outline erection of 7 dwellings with associated access and landscaping (All matters reserved)

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Barry Tucker

Address: School Cottage Mill Lane ,Habrough Habrough, Immingham

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: My main objection is against small developments of this nature along the main road between Immingham and Habrough will open up to other similar developments eventually joining Habrough to Immingham,

It is totally out of character with the countryside feeling of the area and pushes a prominent building of great character "Luxmore Farmhouse" into obscurity

While I appreciate there is always an ongoing need for additional housing surely 7 more units could easily be tagged onto the present Peter Ward development with minimal impact

Comments for Planning Application DM/0508/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0508/23/OUT

Address: Land Off Habrough Road Immingham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Outline erection of 7 dwellings with associated access and landscaping (All matters reserved)

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr John Walton

Address: 2 School Cottages Immingham Road Habrough

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Needless to say I'm not thrilled at having a building development next door. The field outlined to be built on is one of only two remaining fields that separate Habrough from Immingham. With this development there will be almost no noticeable boundary between these places, Habrough will be absorbed by Immingham and lose its separate distinctiveness.

The field to be built on is already very biodiverse. Swallows, House Martins, Kestrels, Buzzards, Finches, Rabbits and even the occasional Deer make use of this field. Consider also the insects that make this field their home, wild grasses and flowers in abundance so long as the farmer doesn't needlessly mow it flat. The idea that building houses will improve biodiversity is truly ludicrous, and if biodiversity is such a high priority for the land developers, then just dig a pond and plant a few trees and bushes.

The report mentions how developing this land will bring jobs, and boost the local economy. Well those jobs will only last as long as takes to build the houses, then those workers will move on, and local economy gains will be similarly short term and minimal. I suppose the council will coin a little more money in from tax but that won't make much difference to anything.

Seven bungalows will house 7 old married couples, while old folks may be a growing demographic, broadly speaking British people are reproducing at below replacement level birth rate so the population should start to shrink. There should not be a large demand for new houses, especially on green, biodiverse and historically significant land.

This land has been left to nature for a long time and before that it was for farming. It's a shame that someone has identified this lush green space and thought "profit."

There must be countless better, less green, less distinct places to build 7 bungalows. Bungalows that will almost certainly detract even further from the old architecture that predominately makes up this part of Habrough. Slate roofs, old brick, stone sills and lintels. Just because a few bungalows already exist does not set the vernacular for this end of the village as a whole.

Stop building ugly houses on pretty land and calling it an improvement, we aren't blind!

Comments for Planning Application DM/0508/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0508/23/OUT

Address: Land Off Habrough Road Immingham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Outline erection of 7 dwellings with associated access and landscaping (All matters reserved)

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Emily Leppard

Address: Rosedene Immingham Road Habrough

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I own the property Rosedene and I strongly object to this planning application for the following reasons.

1. The access road and turning circle will be very close to my conservatory which is on my rear boundary.
2. My property is only 3 metres from my rear boundary which is a low hedge and at present overlooks fields, so anyone driving or walking passed will look straight into my property.
3. The property was bought for the views and isolation which I will no longer have.
4. This is the only property affected by this planning application as the farm is selling the land.
5. Would it not be better that the bungalows had access from the main road and retain my privacy and views?
6. Has the Viking CCS pipeline been cancelled?

Comments for Planning Application DM/0508/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0508/23/OUT

Address: Land Off Habrough Road Immingham North East Lincolnshire

Proposal: Outline erection of 7 dwellings with associated access and landscaping (All matters reserved)

Case Officer: Jonathan Cadd

Customer Details

Name: Mr Gordon Luck

Address: 31 Kesteven Court Habrough

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Despite the comment on here from Harbour Energy, from the latest interactive map available here

<https://consultation.vikingccs.co.uk/consultation/interactive-map>

it appears that the planned route for the Viking CCS pipeline will go straight through the middle of this plot.

Item 8 - 153 Humberston
Avenue Humberston -
DM/0444/23/OUT



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

[Tel:- 07494 577661](tel:07494577661)

[Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com](mailto:clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com)

Planning, North East Lincs Council

7th June 2023

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 6th June 2023 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0444/23/OUT

Proposal: Outline application for the erection of 3 dwellings with access to be considered

Location: 153 Humberston Avenue Humberston

Objections. The Village Council has a formal adopted policy to object to any 'infill' or 'back-yard' development along Humberston Avenue which it has made NELC aware of on numerous previous occasions. The policy was set by the Village Council in an attempt to preserve the overall character of the area of Humberston Avenue where infill development has detrimentally changed the nature of this area.

The Village Council wishes to see this application refused.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

**Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council
Humberston Village Council**

Comments for Planning Application DM/0444/23/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0444/23/OUT

Address: 153 Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4SX

Proposal: Outline application for the erection of 3 dwellings with access to be considered|cr|

Case Officer: Bethany Loring

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Lyn Short

Address: 134 Humberston Avenue Humberston Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I continue to object to these plans on the grounds of over development of the plot. One new dwelling seems reasonable but 3 new family houses of 4+ bedrooms each is too much.

Where is the need for 3 extra houses in this avenue when there are currently the most houses up for sale in Humberston avenue that I have seen in the 20+ years I've lived here, as well as unsold houses on Humberston meadow and Millennium. I also wonder why building has not commenced despite planning being approved 3 years ago. Has the owner of 153 realised that these new dwellings won't sell due to the current local saturation of housing stock?

My other major concern is highway safety. The avenue is increasingly busy due to the new developments and the pavements especially busy at school times with school children going to Humberston school and the local primary schools. These plans show a shared entrance/exit onto the avenue for 6 cars from the new dwellings right next to an exit from 153 itself. But this is right next to the bus stop directly outside 153, opposite the turning for the cemetery and allotments, plus diagonally opposite the bus stop outside 136A. My concern is if cars are trying to exit 153 or the new dwellings then they are unable to see the road when buses are parked and these cars will not be visible to any traffic which is overtaking the parked buses. Surely dangerous to road users, cyclists and pedestrians. And the flow of traffic is further disrupted if cars wish to exit or enter the cemetery at the same time.

There are turning circles in the plans so that cars can exit the new dwellings in forward gear onto the avenue. But will visitors, tradesmen or delivery drivers be able to turn if other cars belonging to the new houses are parked in the way? Potentially reversing out onto the avenue is surely dangerous. Some visitors may not drive down the shared drive and simply park on the avenue itself, but then cause an obstruction near the bus stop.

I also wonder where the green, blue and grey bins will be stored? These will all clutter the pavement on collecting days, a hazard to pedestrians especially the visually impaired.

I would like the council not to renew approval for these plans.

Item 9 - 182 Humberston
Fitties Humberston -
DM/0690/22/FULA



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

[Tel:- 07494 577661](tel:07494577661)

[Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com](mailto:clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com)

Planning, North East Lincs Council

7th June 2023

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 6th June 2023 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0690/22/FULA

Proposal: Demolish existing conservatory, erect single storey rear extension with cladding, install decking with access ramp to side and various associated alterations

Location: 182 Humberston Fitties Humberston

The Village Council has objections to this application. The overall footprint of the building will still be too large when it is compared with that of neighbouring chalets, and details of the materials to be used are not made clear as part of the application. The Village Council wishes to see this application refused.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

**Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council
Humberston Village Council**



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

[Tel:- 07494 577661](tel:07494577661)

Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Planning, North East Lincs Council

7th September 2022

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 6th September 2022 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0690/22/FULA

Proposal: Demolish existing conservatory, erect single storey rear extension with cladding, install decking with access ramp to side and various associated alterations

Location: 182 Humberston Fitties Humberston

Objections – the Village Council believes the proposals would be too large and not in keeping with the original scale of the property. However, it would defer to Heritage Officer comments which were not available on the portal at the time of consideration.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

**Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council
Humberston Village Council**

Comments for Planning Application DM/0690/22/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0690/22/FULA

Address: 182 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4HE

Proposal: Demolish existing conservatory, erect single storey rear extension with cladding, install decking with access ramp to side and various associated alterations

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Miss KATHERINE TEAKLE

Address: 85 MAIN ROAD HUMBERSTON FITTIES CLEETHORPES

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The chalet is too big. And it is a very very worrying trend. This is NOT a housing estate..it is a PLOTLAND ..made up of modest single cell holiday dwellings. This is a bungalow in a town. PLEASE please NELincs, look at the history and Heritage of our uniquely special site and keep the work in keeping with the plot....a long-standing recommended ratio of build vv space that seems to have evaporated! Low roofline needs maintaining also....too many attic-lofts appearing.

Thank you

Comments for Planning Application DM/0690/22/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0690/22/FULA

Address: 182 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4HE

Proposal: Demolish existing conservatory, erect single storey rear extension with cladding, install decking with access ramp to side and various associated alterations

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Jayne Rendall

Address: 185 Humberston Road Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I wish to object to this planning on the following grounds:

This is the last remaining bungalow of its type on the Fitties. The proposed alterations are not in keeping with the conservation status and the article 4 direction.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0690/22/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0690/22/FULA

Address: 182 Humberston Fitties Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4HE

Proposal: Demolish existing conservatory, erect single storey rear extension with cladding, install decking with access ramp to side and various associated alterations

Case Officer: Owen Toop

Customer Details

Name: Mrs James RENDALL

Address: 185 Humberston Road Cleethorpes

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I wish to object to this planning application on the grounds that it is too large for the plot and that it does not adhere to the article 4 direction. This is an example of a traditional chalet and should be retained as such since it is the last example of this type. The addition of wooden cladding will only serve to add to the increasing homogeneity of the site. Any inclusion of plastic windows on properties which did not already have them prior to conservation status is not allowed. This chalet retains its wind turbine. These were a feature of many chalets in the past and this is one of the last remaining examples and as such is an intrinsic part of Fitties History and should be retained.

Item 10 - 2 Humberston
Avenue Humberston -
DM/0137/23/FULA



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

[Tel:- 07494 577661](tel:07494577661)

Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Planning, North East Lincs Council

5th April 2023

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 4th April 2023 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0137/23/FULA

Proposal: Erect single storey home gym with associated works

Location: 2 Humberston Avenue Humberston

No objections but the Village Council would ask that any development be at least one metre from any boundary with neighbouring properties.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

**Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council
Humberston Village Council**



Humberston Village Council

Clerk to the Council – Mrs. K. Peers

[Tel:- 07494 577661](tel:07494577661)

Email:- clerk@humberstonvillagecouncil.com

Planning, North East Lincs Council

26th April 2023

Dear Sirs,

The following planning applications were discussed at the meeting of Humberston Village Council held on Tuesday 25th April 2023 and the comments below each application listed are the comments resolved to be submitted as follows:

Planning Application Reference: DM/0137/23/FULA

Proposal: Erect single storey home gym with associated works

Location: 2 Humberston Avenue Humberston

The Village Council would reiterate that the only condition it would seek is for the proposal to be put at least one metre from the boundary with any neighbouring properties.

Yours faithfully,

KJ Peers

**Mrs. K. Peers – Clerk to the Council
Humberston Village Council**

17.3.2023

MR P.J. HOTSON
4 PEAKS LANE
NEW WALTHAM
GRIMSB DN354QW

REF NO DM/0137/23/FULA
PLANNING APPLICATION 2 HUMBERSTON AV

DEAR SIR,

I STRONGLY OBJECT TO ANY BUILDING
BEING BUILT TO CLOSE TO THE
BOUNDARY OF MY GARAGE WHICH
MAY STOP ME FROM MAINTAINING MY
GARDEN FENCE AND THE GUTTER WHICH
RUNS THE BACK OF THE GARAGE
AND SO I DON'T WANT ANY BUILDING
BEING TO CLOSE TO MY BOUNDARY
FENCE WHICH I MAY HAVE TO OVER
LOOK.

YOURS MR P.J. H



25.4.2023

Mr P.J HOISON
4 PEAKS LANE
NEW WALTHAM

PLANNING APPLICATION 2 HUMBERSTON AV
REFERENCE NUMBER DM/0137/23/FULA
TO PLANNING OFFICER

I STRONGLY OBJECT TO ANY BUILDING
BEING BUILT TO CLOSE TO THE BOUNDARY
OF MY GARAGE WHICH MAY STOP ME FROM
MAINTAINING MY GARDEN FENCE AND THE
GUTTER WHICH RUNS THE BACK OF THE
GARAGE. AND ALSO I HAVE ONLY A SHORT
BACK GARDEN SO I DON'T WANT ANY
BUILDING BEING CLOSE TO MY BOUNDARY
FENCE WHICH WE MY HAVE TO OVER LOOK
YOURS

RECEIVED

- 2 MAY 2023

Comments for Planning Application DM/0137/23/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0137/23/FULA

Address: 2 Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4SJ

Proposal: Erect single storey home gym with associated works

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Dr David Smith

Address: 4 HUMBERSTON AVENUE HUMBERSTON

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I have no objections to the plan as a single storey building.

Best wishes, David

Comments for Planning Application DM/0137/23/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0137/23/FULA

Address: 2 Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4SJ

Proposal: Erect single storey home gym with associated works

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Jacqueline King

Address: 1A Fearn Close New Waltham

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Why does the site plan submitted for this proposal show a large amount of my land as belonging to applicant?

What are the associated works? More details are required.

The overflow from the water butts will only add to the problem of an easily waterlogged lawn especially if more paving is added in this area.

I do not believe this building will be used as a home gym as when I sold the property to the McQuillans there was a fully fitted professionally designed gym already in the property. The McQuillans refused having the equipment etc left in the house as they do not use gyms and go nowhere near them.

This building is too large for a home gym and I am concerned it will be used for entertaining large gatherings of people and more live bands in the garden.

There is already a large children's climbing frame/tower that is higher than my 2m boundary fence in this area and also a swim/spa that has steps which enables people to look into my property. Will the spa also be emptied onto the lawn?

It will not be possible to erect this building without the need to remove any trees or hedges for access.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0137/23/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0137/23/FULA

Address: 2 Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4SJ

Proposal: Erect single storey home gym with associated works

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Jacqueline King

Address: 1a Fearn Close New Waltham

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to this application as I believe this is not being built to be used as a gym. What is the true purpose of this application?

The building is too close to the boundary fences and no consideration has been given to planting trees to obscure the view of the building or deaden any noise.

Comments for Planning Application DM/0137/23/FULA

Application Summary

Application Number: DM/0137/23/FULA

Address: 2 Humberston Avenue Humberston North East Lincolnshire DN36 4SJ

Proposal: Erect single storey home gym with associated works

Case Officer: Becca Soulsby

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Trudy Melhuish

Address: 2 Enfield Avenue New Waltham Grimsby

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I would like further information as to where access would be gained for the construction of this dwelling. Considerable disruption was incurred to my property during the building work of the two houses built in the land of the said property. Where the boundary fence was parted and deliveries and construction vehicles went back and forth leaving trails of mud and noise during the build. I also note the concern of 1a Fearn close about the use of the dwelling e.g live bands as this greatly impact a quiet residential street.

Dear Ms Soulsby

Re. DM/0137/23/FULA – 2 Humberston Avenue

I would like to object to the above application for the following reasons:

1. The proposed building is too large for an ancillary outbuilding, its size (approx. 104sqm) is the size of a modest 1-2 bedroom bungalow. A Completion Certificate was issued in 2006 for a garage, gym, swimming pool, kitchen and bedroom extension. A further gym of this size raises questions over its use and how it would be used in the future.
2. The application is not supported with sufficient information. TPO No. 18 1997 protects all trees on the site and these have not been identified on the Proposed Site Plan and a Tree Survey and Arboricultural Assessment has not been carried out. An extract from the Arboricultural Report (JCA Ltd) that was submitted for application DM/0046/20/FUL as below shows that there are retained trees close to the proposed building – some of these are Category B trees.



The application has overlooked these protected trees. Despite being advised by the Case Officer that construction access would be from the existing access on Humberston Avenue, there is no evidence in the application that this is the intention or that consideration has been given to what trees may be affected or need protecting to permit this. No indication of the siting of a compound during construction or service runs which also have the potential to adversely affect protected trees.

3. The red edge of the application site is at odds with the approved scheme for the two new adjacent dwellings – DM/0046/20/FUL. An extract from the approved Site Layout below shows that the approved residential curtilage to the two new dwellings is inconsistent with the current plans:



The approved plans (application DM/0046/20/FUL) show that new tree planting is to be carried out which appears to be on the same position as the proposed new gym building. These trees are necessary to help to compensate for losses that were incurred to accommodate the two new dwellings. Condition 7 of the planning permission states as follows:-

7. The scheme of landscaping and tree planting and landscape retention shown on drawings 201909-05 REV C and 201909-05A shall be completed within a period of 12 months, beginning with the date on which the development is first brought into use. All planting shall be adequately maintained for 5 years, beginning with the date of completion of the scheme and during that period all losses shall be replaced during the next planting season. Reason To ensure a satisfactory appearance and setting for the development and continued maintenance of the approved landscaping in the interests of local amenity in accordance with policies 5, 22 and 42 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2013 - 2032 (Adopted 2018).

The proposed gym would be constructed 1.4m (confirmed by the Case Officer) from the boundary which would not be possible with the planting of these trees. The submitted Application Form states that no trees or hedges will be removed or pruned which doesn't take account of these trees. The previously approved plan with the planting of trees should be adhered to.

4. The proposed building is too large for its domestic use as a gym and at a height to 5.2m is excessive and would appear overbearing at such proximity to the adjacent dwellings – this would be contrary to Policy 5 of the North East Lincolnshire Local Plan.
5. Access for construction purposes would potentially affect protected trees. It should be transparent at this stage where access would be from and this should be conditioned as part of a Construction Management Plan.

The application should not be approved. A smaller building further away from boundaries and the new trees approved as part of the approval DM/0046/20/FULA may be possible but further information is required to support any future application as outlined above.

Yours sincerely

Janet Filbin

8 Enfield Avenue, New Waltham.